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Heat stress, gastrointestinal permeability and interleukin-6 signaling — Implications for exercise performance and fatigue

Nicole Vargas and Frank Marino
School of Exercise Science, Sport & Health, Charles Sturt University, Bathurst, NSW, Australia

ABSTRACT
Exercise in heat stress exacerbates performance decrements compared to normothermic environments. It has been documented that the performance decrements are associated with reduced efferent drive from the central nervous system (CNS), however, specific factors that contribute to the decrements are not completely understood. During exertional heat stress, blood flow is preferentially distributed away from the intestinal area to supply the muscles and brain with oxygen. Consequently, the gastrointestinal barrier becomes increasingly permeable, resulting in the release of lipopolysaccharides (LPS, endotoxin) into the circulation. LPS leakage stimulates an acute-phase inflammatory response, including the release of interleukin (IL)-6 in response to an increasingly endotoxic environment. If LPS translocation is too great, heat shock, neurological dysfunction, or death may ensue. IL-6 acts initially in a pro-inflammatory manner during endotoxemia, but can attenuate the response through signaling the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA)-axis. Likewise, IL-6 is believed to be a thermoregulatory sensor in the gut during the febrile response, hence highlighting its role in periphery – to – brain communication. Recently, IL-6 has been implicated in signaling the CNS and influencing perceptions of fatigue and performance during exercise. Therefore, due to the cascade of events that occur during exertional heat stress, it is possible that the release of LPS and exacerbated response of IL-6 contributes to CNS modulation during exertional heat stress. The purpose of this review is to evaluate previous literature and discuss the potential role for IL-6 during exertional heat stress to modulate performance in favor of whole body preservation.
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Introduction
It is well accepted that exercise performance can be negatively affected in hot environments. Although this reduction in performance has been associated with perturbed cardiovascular,2 metabolic,3 perceived exertion4 and motivational5 responses, it still remains unclear as to the determining factor for the cessation of exercise. However, regardless of the individual or collective physiological responses during exercise heat stress, it is clear that central nervous system (CNS) down regulation plays a critical role as high core temperatures are associated with reduced central drive.6,7 This was also confirmed when core temperature was manipulated during passive heat stress and then systematically returned to resting values with the voluntary activation restored in an orderly fashion.8 These studies collectively show that exercise-induced hyperthermia evokes a central component, which reduces the capability of the brain to continue to drive motor output at a level that sustains exercise at a given intensity.

It is interesting to note that much of the research attempting to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for reduced exercise performance in the heat has largely dealt with understanding the role of the CNS, in particular the relationship between rising temperature and neuronal activity,9 cerebral blood flow10 and energy turnover.11,12 However, it has been known for some time that strenuous exercise also promotes alterations in gastrointestinal (GI) permeability,13,14
leading to increased leakage of lipopolysaccharides (LPS, endotoxin) from the intestinal lumen to the internal environment; although this leakage has been shown to be attenuated with L-Arginine supplementation. Nevertheless, this permeability was shown to be exacerbated in heat stress whereby athletes performing in warm conditions showed rises in plasma concentrations of LPS. In fact, it has been known for some time that reduced heat tolerance could be due to the differential endotoxin leakage from the gut, which distinguishes physically fit from unfit animals. Conversely, enhanced integrity of GI permeability which reduces endotoxin release from the gut is thought to minimize the likelihood of developing heat stroke.

Under hyperthermic conditions, a number of factors could be responsible for the increased gut leakage including, but not limited to, reduced intestinal blood flow, tissue hypoxia, dehydration and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. It is now well known that strenuous exercise leading to endotoxin release from the gut mucosa also triggers a cascade involving pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and the interleukins (IL)-1 beta (β) and IL-6, with heat stress exacerbating the cytokine response.

Given the possible avenues by which gut leakage could come about along with the cascade of associated inflammatory responses, Lambert has suggested that endotoxemia resulting from GI permeability could act as a warning for a more serious condition such as heatstroke. Heatstroke is a serious neurological condition which can occur in a range of settings but typically occurs in sporting competitions and in the field with military personnel. However, the down regulation of CNS drive during exercise occurs well before the development of hyperpyrexia or heat injury, which may suggest that GI permeability and the associated inflammatory cascade serve as a further mechanism for the development of premature fatigue, and to preserve homeostasis.

We have recently proposed a neuro-inflammatory model of fatigue during exercise based on the potential signaling to the brain by cytokines which could act as cellular messengers. In the present review, we consider the significance of the cytokine response, specifically the release of IL-6 associated with translocation of LPS during heat stress, as a possible candidate for the development of fatigue by acting on the CNS.

**GI dysfunction in exercise heat stress**

In resting, healthy individuals, the GI barrier is comprised of epithelial tissue and tight junctions that hold enterocytes together with mucous secretion and immune mediators, such as macrophages; maintaining the function of the epithelial wall. During either passive or exertional heat stress, GI permeability can be exacerbated due to rising core temperatures and preferential blood flow away from the splanchnic area, which can directly open the tight junctions and cause tissue hypoxia, oxidative stress, and damage the enterocyte membrane. Consequently, the damaged epithelial wall and tight junctions allow translocation of luminal LPS into the blood stream.

To evaluate the consequence of heat stress on GI dysfunction, it is worth reviewing some of the known responses and the magnitudes of change in some key variables. First, splanchnic temperatures of subjects exercising in the heat have been shown to reach 41.7°C which was well above the rectal temperatures of 40.2°C. However, it seems that high core temperatures of this kind are not necessarily required to induce GI permeability. In fact, Moseley et al. have found that only small rises in temperature (in vitro) can induce permeability in a high-resistance clone of canine kidney epithelial cells. These high-resistance clones form a monolayer which eliminates the potential confounding effects of inflammation and bacteria, increasing the likelihood that the changes were in fact due to heat stress. These data suggest that commonly achieved core temperatures of 39–40°C during exercise heat stress are able to induce GI permeability both in vivo and in vitro, potentially leading to endotoxemia. Likewise, others have used surrogate measures to assess gut permeability under different exercise conditions as well.

Figure 1a and b show data from two separate studies where GI permeability was assessed after running at 75%VO2max post ingestion of two different non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), or a placebo (Fig. 1a), and in a further study following the ingestion of either a sweetened placebo, a 4% glucose solution or no fluid (Fig. 1b). The surrogate measure for GI permeability used in these studies was a ratio of lactulose to rhamnose, which was determined from urinary excretion of orally administered inert carbohydrate ‘probes’ (for review see Lambert et al.). These figures indicate that ingestion of aspirin increases GI permeability more than ibuprofen and significantly more than a placebo.
even when core temperature was relatively stable between conditions (Fig. 1a). NSAIDs are thought to induce several key changes including uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation and reduced ATP production in the mitochondria of epithelial cells of the small intestine; increased membrane fluidity and permeability due to changes in the endoplasmic reticulum; and prostaglandin synthesis, hence exacerbating the permeability.34 Further to this, when subjects were restricted from fluid intake, compared to ingesting either a sweetened placebo or 4% glucose, GI permeability was further compromised, likely due to the combination of reduced blood flow to the GI tract and a decreased plasma volume from fluid restriction.21 These data show that GI permeability can be compromised during exercise when NSAIDs are ingested and when fluids are restricted, hence leading to translocation of LPS.

We have already mentioned that GI permeability can lead to increased spillage of LPS into the internal environment. LPS are typically produced on the outer walls of the bacteria residing in the GI tract, and, while confined to the intestinal lumen, are harmless, though they can otherwise act as pyrogens.35 However, LPS leakage is able to elicit a strong immune (cytokine) response that can culminate in cardiovascular collapse, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and multiple-organ failure which, if left unchecked, can lead to life threatening sepsis.36 Although the leakage of LPS from the gut is known to be a serious pathological outcome during exercise heat stress, this view should be balanced by the very interesting findings presented by Brock-Utne et al.13 In this classic study, the authors collected random blood samples from 89 of 340 ultramarathon runners who had either collapsed or were taken to the medical tent after competing in wet bulb globe temperature (WBGT) of 20.3 – 22.3°C. The blood samples were analyzed for endotoxin (LPS) and endotoxin IgG (anti-LPS). The results of the blood analysis allowed the researchers to place runners into two groups; those with low and normal LPS and the other with high levels of LPS, including two runners with LPS in the lethal range. The interesting finding was that the runners with low or normal post-race LPS also had high levels of anti-LPS, had faster race times, reported less illness and recovered quicker. However, those with high LPS levels had low levels of anti-LPS, reported more illness and required longer recovery times. These findings raise at least two salient points to note. First, the presence of anti-LPS antibodies must have counteracted the LPS leakage in order that low levels of LPS resulted, and second, the low levels of LPS reduced the morbidity and attenuated the performance decrements, in contrast to those with low anti-LPS antibodies and high LPS levels.13 Taken collectively, these findings raise the important question as to whether training and exposure to heat stress can induce a protective mechanism against GI permeability whereby endotoxemia is attenuated to levels that do not compromise performance and whether high levels of LPS, or associated cytokine release, are indeed a signaling mechanism for the development of premature fatigue in order to preserve homeostasis. In fact, it has been
Figure 2. Provides an overall representation of the model presented in the paper and how acute heat stress can provide preventative effects for subsequent heat stress. (a) shows how passive or exertional heat stress can effect resting or exercise behaviours through increased intestinal permeability and the associated inflammatory response signaling the CNS; (b) shows how acute heat stress can induce preventative mechanisms through HSP and EIMD in order to increase exercise tolerance and/or recovery from heat stress.
hypothesized that endotoxemia can influence fatigue by increasing the perception of effort through the release of cytokines.37

It is now known that exposure to heat stress can indeed be protective to the GI barrier. Mosley et al.32 found that exposure of kidney epithelial cells to heat stress of 42°C in vitro for up to 90 min conditioned the cells to higher temperatures at which epithelial dysfunction occurred, and that cell survival to a subsequent dose of lethal heat exposure was improved. It has also been shown in a rat model that if heat stress preceded a lethal dose of LPS by 24 h, all rats survived the endotoxic insult, but only 29% survived if not exposed to previous heat stress.38 In addition to heat stress inducing a GI protective effect, exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD) has also been shown to blunt heat strain whereby subjects exposed to both exercise heat stress and EIMD up to 14 days after the initial exposure, showed attenuated rises in core temperature and a reduced threshold for the initiation of sweating.39 These studies indicate that acute heat stress can provide some protection against GI permeability and counteract the leakage of LPS into the internal environment. This protection is likely related to the favorable alterations in heat shock proteins (HSP),40 which can thereby minimise the otherwise associated inflammatory response.

The release of interleukin-6 during exercise in heat stress

IL-6 was first identified as an immune mediator which, along with other cytokines, activates the acute-phase inflammatory response.41 The pro-inflammatory actions of IL-6 involve activating T-cells and promoting B-cells and further inflammatory mediators to eliminate damaged tissue or foreign material from an immune challenge.41 However, IL-6 also mediates stress and inflammation in the periphery by directly signaling the HPA-axis and inducing adrenal corticosteroids which act to attenuate the inflammatory response, hence also rendering it a molecule with anti-inflammatory properties.42 IL-6 has since been identified as a regulator of blood glucose metabolism at rest43 and during exercise44,45 and in the febrile response as an endogenous pyrogen.46,47

In normothermic exercise conditions, IL-6 is released in an intensity and duration dependent fashion. Short bouts of high intensity exercise increase circulating IL-6 by ~80% immediately post a 30 s Wingate test.48,49 Likewise, prolonged exercise results in similar responses with moderate cycling at ~62% VO2peak for ~1h resulting in a 44% increase in IL-6,50 while running a marathon (42 km) with a mean time of 206 min results in a 100% increase in IL-6.50 The total concentration of circulating IL-6 during exercise is primarily supplied by muscle glycogen break down,51–53 and neuroendocrine responses54 that may in turn help to regulate the neuroinflammatory response from within the HPA-axis.54,55

When exercise is performed with an additional heat stress or environmental load, the IL-6 response is exacerbated due to the GI permeability described above and the associated inflammatory assault. The insult of endotoxins initiates the release of IL-6 through an immune response for elimination,16,30 although hormonal, thermal and metabolic responses are likely to contribute as well.56 Starkie et al.16 report a significant increase in core temperature (~36.5 – 39.1°C) and a 4 – fold increase in IL-6 after 90 min of cycling at 70% VO2max in 35°C compared to the control (15°C) condition (~0.5 – 4 pg·mL−1 and ~0.5 – 1 pg·mL−1, respectively). Similarly, Rhind et al.56 showed that 40 min of cycling at 65% VO2max in hot (39°C) water immersion increased core temperature to 39.1°C while IL-6 increased 150%, again with negligible changes in the cold water immersion (15°C) condition.56 However, environmental temperature alone is not necessarily required to increase IL-6 response. For instance, Fortes et al.57 showed that when subjects ran downhill on a treadmill at 10% gradient, EIMD was indeed enough to elevate circulating IL-6, which then exacerbated exercise-induced hyperthermia in a subsequent heat stress test 30 min, and to a lesser extent, 24 hours later. These authors suggested that EIMD could potentially be a risk factor for heat illness if exercise is undertaken in hot conditions within the time-frame they studied.

In the event that endotoxins are not removed from the circulation, exertional heat stroke, and potential death may ensue due to multi-organ failure from an increasingly complex pro-inflammatory environment.58 Accordingly, IL-6 has also been implicated as a thermal sensor within the muscle59 and in the core, specifically believed to signal core temperature changes to the CNS during exercise, heat shock and illness,56,58 and instigate behavioral modifications. It has been shown that centrally injecting IL-6 and IL-1
Interleukin-6 signaling and receptors

IL-6 signaling can occur at local or systemic levels.\textsuperscript{62} For instance, IL-6 has been shown to directly signal the HPA-axis in a febrile response using IL-6 knockout mice and cecal ligation.\textsuperscript{47} It is also a regulator of blood glucose homeostasis where circulating IL-6 signals the release of hepatic glucose in states of hypoglycaemia,\textsuperscript{63} and is implicated in arthritis and other chronic inflammatory disease, signaling tissues and exacerbating inflammatory activity,\textsuperscript{64} and pain.\textsuperscript{65} Importantly, circulating IL-6 can signal nociceptive muscle fibers,\textsuperscript{59,66} sensory nerves,\textsuperscript{67-69} and the circumventricular organs,\textsuperscript{70} all affecting the CNS.

IL-6 signals tissues through both classical and trans-signaling pathways.\textsuperscript{71} Classical signaling occurs when IL-6 binds to a membrane bound IL-6 receptor (R), which then signals through an associated membrane bound glycoprotein (gp) 130 receptor.\textsuperscript{72} Membrane bound IL-6R, however, is only available on a small number of, primarily, immune tissues such as hepatocytes, monocytes and neutrophils, compared to the gp130 receptor which is located ubiquitously throughout the body.\textsuperscript{72} However, a soluble (s) receptor (R) (sIL-6R) is formed through alternative splicing\textsuperscript{73,74} or shedding from the membrane bound IL-6R,\textsuperscript{75} which enables further signaling. Trans-signaling occurs when sIL-6R binds to IL-6, and forms a sIL-6R/IL-6 complex. This complex then binds to a membrane bound gp130 receptor to initiate the designated response.\textsuperscript{76} Trans-signaling is known to occur in inflammatory arthritis\textsuperscript{64,77} and other autoimmune disease\textsuperscript{76,79} and is implicated in both inflammation at the local area and in pain.\textsuperscript{80}

Trans-signaling, however, can be inhibited by the antagonistic soluble receptor, sgp130,\textsuperscript{62} which does not act on IL-6 alone.\textsuperscript{81} Inhibition of trans-signaling using sgp130 to neutralise sIL-6R/IL-6 signaling in inflammatory conditions has been shown to reduce inflammation and pain in arthritis.\textsuperscript{65,77} Importantly, research suggests that the sIL-6R/IL-6 complex can bind to both the membrane bound gp130 receptor and sgp130, although sgp130 binds to the sIL-6R/IL-6 complex with a greater affinity.\textsuperscript{81} Therefore, it is posited that only in the event that there is a disproportionate increase in sIL-6R over that of sgp130, will an overall systemic response occur, otherwise, trans-signaling is likely limited to local areas.\textsuperscript{81} Systemic signaling is often a severe response such as that which occurs during sepsis and heat stroke, and so it is likely that local, trans-signaling through the gut, muscle, and other organs with sensory nerve fibers are responsible for signaling the CNS in order to prevent a septic-like response to extreme exertional heat stress.\textsuperscript{58}

The exercise response of soluble interleukin-6 receptor and soluble glycoprotein 130

Most studies report increases in receptor concentrations alongside plasma IL-6 during prolonged exercise.\textsuperscript{82,83} For instance, 60 min of prolonged cycling at 90% lactate threshold resulted in a 5-fold increase in IL-6, a 1.2-fold increase in sIL-6R and a 2.1-fold increase in the sIL-6R/IL-6 complex immediately after exercise.\textsuperscript{82} Similarly, cycling at the same intensity to volitional exhaustion resulted in a 76% increase in IL-6 and a 10% increase in both sIL-6R and sgp130.\textsuperscript{83} Interestingly, despite high intensity interval training (HIIT) stimulating a significantly greater IL-6 response than moderate intensity cycling, the sIL-6R and sIL-6R/IL-6 complex response was not reported to differ between the two.\textsuperscript{84} Notably, both protocols were matched for workload, which suggests the type of exercise is not the determining factor in sIL-6R release, but the overall work completed is likely to be a key factor.\textsuperscript{84} Finally, in a study conducted during a mountain bike event covering 468 km in 6-days, IL-6 was elevated at baseline only after the first day, but increased significantly by the end of every day.\textsuperscript{28} On the other hand, sIL-6R was not elevated at baseline after the first day, but was in each consecutive day.\textsuperscript{28} Individuals reported greater levels of fatigue at
baseline on days 4, 5 and 6, which was highly correlated to sIL-6R, therefore, the authors concluded that sIL-6R may be associated with perceptions of fatigue at rest.28

In contrast, some studies have revealed no changes in receptor concentrations despite significant increases in IL-6. For instance, cycling at 90% of lactate threshold to volitional exhaustion did not stimulate an increase in sIL-6R or sgp130 in individuals with chronic fatigue syndrome or healthy controls, despite an increase in IL-6.85 Similarly, 60 min cycling at 70% VO2max in normothermic (20°C) and cold (0°C) conditions, induced a significant increase in IL-6, while neither sIL-6R or sgp130 were altered by exercise or environment.86 The former study, specifically, suggests that sIL-6R may not be related to perceptions of fatigue, as it would be expected that individuals with chronic fatigue syndrome would have had greater levels compared with healthy controls. Still, the evaluation of the literature thus far, and the inherent assumptions in terms of signaling abilities highlights the need for further evidence to support each claim.

To the best of our knowledge, sIL-6R and sgp130 responses have not been studied during exertional heat stress. It has been reported, however, that individuals suffering from clinical heat stroke have resting sIL-6R concentrations that are lower compared to those suffering heat stress, potentially due to the binding of sIL-6R to IL-6,87 although these data were collected from migrants suffering from heat exposure, and thus there may have been variables that were not completely controlled for. Unfortunately, the discrepancies between results in the aforementioned studies, combined with the lack of sgp130 concentration data, limit the interpretation of whether IL-6 signaling can be implicated in the altered efferent drive of the CNS when fatigue ensues. Nevertheless, due to the known decrements in performance in exertional heat stress,16,88,90 and concomitant increase in intestinal permeability, it is plausible that the associated IL-6 response may be implicated in transient exercise induced fatigue and CNS modulation.

**Effects of interleukin-6 signaling on the CNS**

There is growing evidence that IL-6, along with other inflammatory cytokines, can stimulate neurons in the dorsal root ganglion,80,91,92 or through vagus afferent nerve endings in the abdomen,61,93 among other routes, and ultimately affect the CNS system as the signals travel to the brain. Peripheral sensory nerves possess the gp130 receptor which enables IL-6 signaling68 and indeed, research has highlighted a neural route of pyrogen signaling to the brain through the chemosensitive afferent fibers in the abdomen, specifically during a febrile response93 leading to sickness behaviors including fever, increased sleep and anorexia.61

The signaling of nociceptive fibers can alter neuronal excitation and inhibition, which can further modify the threshold for pain tolerance80 and fatigue.94 This event is termed central sensitization and is well known to those researching in pain and fatigue paradigms80,92,94 but less so in the exercise sciences, although inhibitory pathways have been implicated in down regulation of efferent drive through central fatigue.95 Central sensitization to afferent signaling is believed to have a cumulative effect on perceptions of pain and fatigue,80 which may, therefore, contribute to down regulation of the CNS during exercise and associated perceptions of fatigue. In contrast, it could be posited that increased exposure to heat stress, or acclimation, increases the tolerance to exercise and minimises performance decrements due to increased protection from HSP and an attenuated inflammatory cascade, as described above and depicted in the schematic Figure 2. Consequently, the aforementioned evidence in terms of the exacerbated response of GI permeability, the associated increase in circulating IL-6, and the potential for it to signal through nociceptive fibers in the periphery, suggests it may be an important regulator, or at least, contributor to transient feelings of fatigue during exertional heat stress (Fig. 2).

**Conclusions**

While not a single physiological system can account for reductions in performance during exercise, it is likely that the afferent input from all internal and external stimuli culminate in a perceptual feeling and modification of behavior, or ceasing of exercise to avoid extreme danger to the system or organism as a whole. Due to the evidence that IL-6 is released during exercise and augmented in heat stress, the signaling pathways of IL-6 that lead to altered cortical inhibitory or excitatory mechanisms might highlight the contribution of circulating IL-6 to down regulated CNS function during exertional heat stress. Furthermore,
understanding the implications of central sensitization from IL-6 in exertional heat stress may help to explain heat sensitivity and extreme fatigue that is known to occur in some autoimmune conditions such as multiple sclerosis. Hence, further research is warranted in terms of the endotoxemic response to exercise in heat stress and its potential to instigate transient and chronic perceptions of fatigue and behavior modifications in exercise and disease alike.
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