

## Review

# Induced plant resistance and its influence on natural enemy use of plant-derived foods

Islam S Sobhy<sup>1,\*</sup>, Geoff M Gurr<sup>2,#</sup> and T Hefin Jones<sup>1,\$</sup>

In response to herbivory, plants employ several inducible defenses to mitigate herbivore damage. These plant-induced responses can trigger subtle changes in plant metabolite composition, altering the profiles of plant-produced exudates such as (extra-) floral nectar and plant guttation. Natural enemies consume these plant-produced exudates, which serve as consistent and nutrient-dense food sources. There is mounting evidence that natural enemies' access to plant-produced exudates impacts their fitness, performance, and life history traits. Nonetheless, the role of induced plant defense on plant-produced exudates and the subsequent effect on natural enemies remains under-researched. This review, thus, highlights the potential role of induced plant defense on the profiles of plant-produced exudates, with a particular emphasis on altered metabolic changes affecting resource nutritional value and consequently the fitness and performance of natural enemies. Future directions and potential implications in biological control practices are also highlighted.

**Addresses**<sup>1</sup> School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Museum Avenue, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK<sup>2</sup> Gulbali Institute, Charles Sturt University, Leeds Parade, Orange NSW 2800, AustraliaCorresponding authors: Sobhy, Islam S ([SobhyI@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:SobhyI@cardiff.ac.uk), [is\\_sobhy@yahoo.com](mailto:is_sobhy@yahoo.com))\* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4984-1823># <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5008-7966>\$ <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7874-3627>**Current Opinion in Insect Science** 2024, **64**:101218This review comes from a special issue on **Parasites/Parasitoids/Biological control**Edited by **Pablo Urbaneja-Bernat, Alejandro Tena and Cesar Rodriguez-Saona**For complete overview about the section, refer "[Parasites/Parasitoids/Biological control \(2024\)](#)"

Available online 3 June 2024

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2024.101218>2214–5745/Crown Copyright © 2024 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).**Introduction**

During their coevolution, plants have evolved a complex arsenal of defense mechanisms against insect herbivores [1]. Apart from constitutive defenses, inducible defenses, activated only when herbivore attack occurs,

represent a dynamic and adaptive response strategy for plant persistence and survival, frequently against the most potentially harmful intruders [1]. These inducible defenses involve a sophisticated array of traits, including the production of secondary metabolites and the release of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [1]. The specificity and magnitude of these responses can vary both inter- and intra-specifically dependent on the herbivore species and/or their guilds; in turn, plants can adapt and shape their plasticity in defense to a diverse community of insect herbivores [2]. These inducible changes in plants not only impact insect herbivores but also, at least in terrestrial ecosystems [3], transcend higher trophic levels, potentially impacting the behavior and performance of natural enemies.

The focus of this review is to consider evidence for plant defenses influencing plant-derived foods, such as nectars and pollen, that are all known to be valuable to natural enemies. To sustain their populations and optimize their pest management effectiveness, many natural enemies require supplementary nutrition beyond what is obtained from preying on insect pests [4]. Exudates and other plant-derived materials, such as nectar, extrafloral nectar (EFN), and honeydew, serve as crucial sources of carbohydrates and amino acids for these beneficial organisms, enhancing their fitness, longevity, and reproductive capabilities [5,6]. Understanding and managing the availability and quality of these plant exudates and other plant-derived materials are essential aspects of promoting the efficacy of natural enemies in sustainable pest control practices [4]. Despite significant efforts having been made in recent years studying the effects of plant-derived food sources on the fitness of natural enemies and thereby the overall effectiveness of biological control, the role of induced plant defense on the quality and the nutritional value of these plant-produced exudates and the subsequent effects on natural enemies remains largely under-researched [7].

This article highlights the role of induced plant defense in determining the nutritional value of plant-derived substances as an important fitness and performance-determining food source for natural enemies. In providing an overview of our current understanding, knowledge gaps requiring further exploration are identified, and

potential implications for conservation biological control programs are determined and assessed.

### Impact of plant-derived foods on natural enemies' traits

Plant-derived foods play a significant role in enhancing biological control by creating an environment that supports and sustains populations of pest-regulating natural enemies [4]. Specifically, these foods provide essential resources that improve the survival, reproduction, and effectiveness of natural enemies, leading to enhanced pest management effectiveness [6,8]. Plant-derived foods can enhance biological control via a range of effects.

#### Increased lifespan and survival

Accumulating evidence substantiates the positive correlation between the availability of plant-derived nutritional resources and the extended lifespan, as well as increased likelihood of maturation to adulthood, of natural enemies [6,9]. Notably, the ingestion of carbohydrate-rich floral nectar has been associated with increased longevity and heightened survival rates across diverse parasitoid [10–14] and predatory [6,15,16] species. Furthermore, the provision of EFN has demonstrated a profound influence on the biological attributes of natural enemies, significantly supporting adult survival rates and prolonging longevity under both laboratory and field conditions [17–20]. Pollen, likewise, has been identified as a key contributor to the increased survival and longevity of both predatory [6,21] and parasitoid species [22–24]. Honeydew, contingent upon its composition, exerts a substantial impact on parasitoid longevity and survival, with parasitoid individuals exhibiting significantly prolonged lifespans when nourished on the honeydew of their hosts [8,25–27]. Recent investigations by Urbaneja-Bernat et al. [28] have revealed a notable increase in adult longevity among aphid natural enemies (i.e. *Aphidius ervi* and *Chrysoperla rufilabris*) when utilizing plant guttation as a nutritional source that contains several organic compounds (e.g. carbohydrates, proteins, enzymes, and amino acids) and inorganic solutes (e.g. salts, ions), surpassing the effects observed with conventional sugar and protein solutions.

#### Enhanced growth and reproductive biology

Although reproduction requires food sources that are high in protein, mixtures of prey and non-prey foods usually support greater reproduction of natural enemies rather than each component alone [16], the natural enemies' access to plant-derived foods enhance their growth rate and reproductive traits, resulting in abundant populations [6,8,9,29].

An essential element of parasitoid foraging behavior involves the capability to detect and respond to floral signals that signify the availability of nectaries [9]. In a recent

meta-analysis, He et al. [6] found that 45 out of 48 predator species could lay eggs on a diet consisting solely of floral resources. For instance, the adult stages of hoverflies and lacewings rely on nectar and pollen to sustain themselves and to reproduce [6]. In addition, floral nectar is reported to increase egg load, fecundity, egg maturation rate, host searching time, and fitness of parasitoid [10–12,30] and predator [6,16] species. Moreover, the parasitoid access to EFN enhances its foraging and promotes adult populations [17,18]. Nevertheless, little is known on the effect of EFN on the reproductive biology of natural enemies [19]. Many natural enemies are obligatory consumers of pollen during their adult stage [31]. For example, pollen alone significantly shortens the developmental time of the phyto-seiid predator *Amblydromalus limonicus* [21] and has been deemed to be the most suitable food for the tachinid parasitoid *Exorista larvarum* [22]. Combined with honey, pollen significantly enhances the fecundity of many natural enemies compared to pollen alone [23,24]. Honeydew, which is a byproduct excreted by many plant-feeding hemipterans, such as aphids, proved to be a good nutrition source, enhancing parasitoid fecundity, increasing their egg loads, and affecting the proportion of male progeny [8,14]. Higher fecundity rate was observed when generalist natural enemies such as *C. rufilabris* fed on plant guttation droplets [28].


#### Increased host/prey seeking activity

Well-nourished natural enemies, resourced with floral and plant-driven resources, are more active and effective predators and parasitoids [6,9]. The increased energy gained from nectar consumption and pollen feeding enables predators and parasitoids to search for and consume pest insects more actively, as well as covering larger areas in prey/host seeking, leading to more effective herbivore control and subsequently reducing crop damage [4,6]. As an example, the ingestion of buckwheat nectar substantially prolonged (40-fold) the search duration of the aphid parasitoid *Diaeretiella rapae* compared with individuals provided with water only [30]. In contrast, the host searching time was decreased when parasitoids experienced a lack of energy resources, as starved parasitoids exhibit a broad decline in their foraging endeavors [9]. Honeydew enhanced the host searching behavior of the parasitoid *Aphelinus mali* and also stimulated higher oviposition rates [26].

### Induced defense and the natural enemies' plant-derived foods

Induced plant resistance can have both direct and indirect influences on the availability and quality of plant-derived foods as a result of substantial changes in plant physiology, growth patterns, and resource allocation [7]. Among the plant-derived resources for natural enemies discussed in the preceding sections, certain resources (e.g. EFN, floral nectar, and honeydew) have garnered

Figure 1



Schematic representation illustrates how induced plant defense affects the utilization of plant-derived foods by natural enemies. The induction of plant defenses can occur through various mechanisms, including (1) flower association with nectar microbes; (2) real herbivory caused by chewing and sucking insects; (3) simulated herbivory induced by elicitor application; and (4) root interaction with soil microbes. These processes have the potential to influence the nutritional content and palatability of plant-derived foods such as (A) floral nectar; (B) pollen; and (C) honeydew; and (D) EFN for natural enemies' use, which consequently enhance their fitness, performance, and life history traits, ultimately enhancing biological control.

considerable attention in contrast to others. In this section, we will spotlight recently published work exploring the potential impact of induced plant defenses on the nutrient composition of plant-derived foods, consequently influencing the nutritional value and palatability of these foods to natural enemies (Figure 1).

### Herbivory

Overall, herbivore attack can elicit both broad-spectrum and targeted responses in plants, reallocating some primary metabolites (e.g. sugars, amino acids, lipids, and steroids) to synthesize defense-related metabolites that protect against herbivory [1]. This, in turn, can qualitatively and quantitatively alter the content of plant-derived foods provided for natural enemies.

Although plants can constitutively produce EFN, several studies have shown that its production can also be induced and increased after above- and/or below-ground herbivore damage [5,19,32,33]; this can also

vary between specialist and generalist herbivores [34] and even plant ontogeny (i.e. older vs younger plants) [35]. In addition, foliar herbivory not only induces the production of EFN but also alters its composition modifying the sugar content in nectar [36,37], influencing the foraging behavior of plant-visiting insects. Similarly, herbivory by the specialist *Manduca sexta* induces higher concentration of alkaloids (e.g. anabasin) in tobacco pollen [38]. Cotton exposure to herbivore-induced plant volatiles, mainly sesquiterpene and aromatic VOCs, increased the production of EFN from healthy plants [39]. This induction results in an increase in the sucrose content of EFN, while glucose and fructose are reported to remain unchanged [36]. Nevertheless, other studies have shown that herbivory by the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum*, may not induce EFN secretions and even reduces its production [40]. In addition, the production of other traits, such as floral nectar and pollen, was strongly induced in response to herbivory [41].

### Microbes association

As sugar-rich resources, plant-derived foods such as floral nectar and honeydew have the capacity to host a diverse array of specialized micro-organisms [42]. These micro-organisms, which are symbiotic (nonpathogenic), play a significant role in altering various traits, including changes in nectary volumes, sugar concentration and types, increased amino acid content, and the synthesis of secondary metabolites [43]. The presence of these beneficial microbes can consequently enhance nutrient availability, thereby impacting both the quantity and quality of plant-derived foods [42]. Mounting evidence suggests that the fermentation process carried out by both yeasts and bacteria can influence the odors of floral nectar [44–46]. Nectar-inhabiting microbes have the capacity to produce *de novo* distinct blends of microbial organic volatile compounds (mVOCs) due to microbial metabolic activities, contributing to flower–insect association [47].

Emerging evidence suggests that the metabolic activity of micro-organisms inhabiting nectars and honeydew plays a pivotal role in modulating nutrient profiles, notably by transforming sugar profiles from disaccharides such as sucrose to monosaccharides such as fructose [43,48]. It is noteworthy that bacteria and yeasts can exert contrasting effects on the characteristics of plant-derived foods. For example, while nectar fermentation by the yeast *Metschnikowia reukaufii* resulted in reduced levels of amino acids without impacting sugar composition, the presence of the bacterium *Gluconobacter* sp. led to elevated concentrations of amino acids and a higher proportion of monosaccharides in the nectar of lopseed plants [49], which may, in turn, impact the performance of nectar consumers such as natural enemies.

Another significant consequence of microbial activity is the modification of nectar [44–46,50,51] and honeydew [52,53] odors, thereby altering the scent profile of plant-derived foods. These mVOCs serve as honest signals of reward quality for insects, such as parasitoids and predators [42,54], at higher trophic levels. Chemical analysis of fermented nectars revealed qualitative and quantitative differences in mVOCs composition between different microbial strains, suggesting that these signals are species-specific and involve intricate sender–receiver dynamics, as odorants derived from fermented nectars may elicit diverse effects in different ecological contexts, including parasitoids [45,51] and bees [44].

Accumulating evidence underscores the significance of microbially produced cues emanating from plant-derived foods in shaping the behavior of natural enemies throughout their life cycles [9,42,54]. For instance, synthetic nectar fermented by *M. reukaufii* exhibited high attractiveness to the aphid parasitoid *A. ervi* [45,50], a trend similarly observed with other microbes, such as the

yeast *Metschnikowia gruessii* and the bacterium *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, where their fermented nectars attracted various egg parasitoids of stink bugs [51,55]. The consumption of these microbe-fermented nectars by parasitoids did not, however, affect their survival or longevity [45,46,55]. Additionally, the scent profile of aphid honeydew colonized by bacterial strains has been found to be more attractive to primary aphid parasitoids and their hyperparasitoids [52,56].

In a recent meta-analysis, Hyjazie and Sargent [57] revealed that root association with soil microbes, particularly mycorrhizal fungi, has a positive effect on floral characteristics, including the size of the floral display. In addition, mycorrhizal fungi also promote pollen and nectar quality and quantity [58]. In contrast, nitrogen-fixing rhizobia reduces EFN production by lima beans, leading to fewer ants attracted to rhizobia-associated plants [59]. This suggests that belowground plant interactions mediated by soil microbes also play a crucial role in shaping floral traits and the availability of floral resources, ultimately influencing plant interactions and ecosystem dynamics. However, the impact of root–microbe associations on the plant-derived foods consumed by natural enemies remains largely unexplored.

### Elicitor application

The application of elicitors, chemical substances that trigger plant direct and indirect defenses [60,61], could potentially impact the allocation of resources in plants. This may lead to changes in the production of plant-derived foods and the compounds that attract or deter natural enemies.

Under field conditions, lima bean plants under jasmonic acid (JA) exogenous application produced more nectar in both extrafloral nectaries and flowers, attracted more ants, and produced more flowers and seeds than non-induced plants [62]. Likewise, treating legume plants with JA increased the volume of EFN and the mass of sugar per nectary without affecting the sugar concentration [63]. In addition, cotton application with methyl jasmonate (MeJA) increased the production of EFN, without a corresponding increase in yield [64]. Supporting this, both herbivory, using chewing (caterpillar, weevil) and sucking (aphid) herbivores, and MeJA treatment increased EFN secretions of tallow tree, but SA decreased it [65].

A similar pattern of producing high amount of pericarpial and extrafloral nectaries was also observed when plants were subjected to simulated herbivory [66,67]. EFN secretions increased significantly when wild cotton plants were exposed to recurrent simulated herbivory (i.e. two episodes of damage) but not after a single herbivory interaction [68]. These EFN secretions were specifically observed within 24 hours post simulated

herbivory, but EFN secretions dropped to initial levels after 7 days [69]. Such induction in EFN production increased the attraction of natural enemies and ants even in the absence or low prey/host density.

### Conclusion and future perspectives

The availability and quality of plant-derived foods, including floral nectar, EFN, pollen, honeydew, and plant guttation, play a crucial role in supporting the survival, reproduction, and effectiveness of natural enemies in pest management systems. These plant exudates serve as consistent and nutrient-rich food sources for natural enemies, enhancing their fitness, longevity, and reproductive capabilities. This enables natural enemies to flourish while also further supporting their role in biological control ecoservices and boost integrated pest management strategies while promoting the health of ecosystems [4,70]. The influence of induced plant defense mechanisms on the profiles and nutritional value of these plant-derived foods does, however, remain relatively understudied. The modulation of plant metabolites and the subsequent alteration of plant-produced exudates by induced plant defense responses have significant implications for the ecology of natural enemies. Thus, understanding how induced plant defenses affect the availability, composition, and attractiveness of plant-derived foods is essential for optimizing the efficacy of natural enemies in sustainable pest control practices.

Future research should focus on elucidating the underpinning mechanisms by which induced plant defenses influence the nutritional quality and palatability of plant-derived foods for natural enemies. This includes investigating how induced changes in plant physiology, growth patterns, and resource allocation affect the production and composition of floral and EFN, pollen, honeydew, and plant guttation. Furthermore, studies exploring the interactive effects of induced plant defenses and microbial associations on the nutritional value and attractiveness of plant-derived foods are necessary. This is of necessity a multidisciplinary approach, requiring the integration of expertise from entomology, applied biology, biochemistry, and microbiology, to exploit effectively what has been overlooked. Although there has been increasing interest in using defense elicitors [7,60] and microbial bioactive compounds [42,54] as sustainable and ecologically sound methods for controlling insect pests in agriculture by enhancing the efficacy and fitness of natural enemies, their practical application in agriculture remains in its infancy. Studying these interactions poses significant challenges as controlled environments often fail to capture the complex dynamics of natural ecosystems, while field studies are hindered by high variability and the substantial resources and time required.

Understanding how induced plant defense and microbial activity in plant-derived foods affect the nutritional and odor profiles of these plant exudates, and consequently the foraging behavior of natural enemies, can provide valuable insights into the ecology of plant–microbe–insect interactions. Additionally, research efforts should focus on how changes in the availability and quality of plant-derived foods influence foraging behavior, reproductive biology, and population abundance of natural enemies in agricultural landscapes.

### Data Availability

No data were used for the research described in the article.

### Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that the content of this manuscript was not affected by any financial, commercial, legal, or professional interest.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the editors Pablo Urbaneja-Bernat, Alejandro Tena, and Cesar Rodríguez-Saona for the invitation to write this manuscript. This work was not supported by specific funding.

### Data access statement

No new data were generated for this review article.

### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Karban R: **The ecology and evolution of induced responses to herbivory and how plants perceive risk.** *Ecol Entomol* 2020, **45**:1-9.
2. Fernández de Bobadilla M, Vitiello A, Erb M, Poelman EH: **Plant defense strategies against attack by multiple herbivores.** *Trends Plant Sci* 2022, **27**:528-535.
3. Tooker JF, O'Neal ME, Rodríguez-Saona C: **Balancing disturbance and conservation in agroecosystems to improve biological control.** *Annu Rev Entomol* 2020, **65**:81-100.
4. Gurr G, Wratten SD, Landis DA, You M: **Habitat management to suppress pest populations: progress and prospects.** *Annu Rev Entomol* 2017, **62**:91-109.
5. Heil M: **Extrafloral nectar at the plant-insect interface: a spotlight on chemical ecology, phenotypic plasticity, and food webs.** *Annu Rev Entomol* 2015, **60**:213-232.
6. He X, Kiær LP, Jensen PM, Sigsgaard L: **The effect of floral resources on predator longevity and fecundity: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Biol Control* 2021, **153**:104476.

In this meta-analysis, the authors reviewed published studies investigating the impact of different floral resources (flowers, pollen, and sugar solution) on predatory arthropods. Their analysis encompassed 70 articles, comprising a total of 628 trials, which evaluated predator longevity (347 trials) and fecundity (281 trials). Their findings indicated a significant enhancement in predator longevity when supplied with floral resources, particularly whole flowers and sugar solution, with pollen also showing a less pronounced effect.

## 6 Parasites/Parasitoids/Biological control

7. Pappas ML, Broekgaarden C, Broufas GD, Kant MR, Messelink GJ, Steppuhn A, Wäckers F, van Dam NM: **Induced plant defences in biological control of arthropod pests: a double-edged sword.** *Pest Manag Sci* 2017, **73**:1780-1788.
  8. Tena A, Wäckers FL, Heimpel GE, Urbaneja A, Pekas A: **Parasitoid nutritional ecology in a community context: the importance of honeydew and implications for biological control.** *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2016, **14**:100-104.
  9. Colazza S, Peri E, Cusumano A: **Chemical ecology of floral resources in conservation biological control.** *Annu Rev Entomol* 2023, **68**:13-29.
- The authors reviewed the chemical ecology of floral resources within the context of conservation biological control, particularly emphasizing their impact on insect parasitoids. They underscored the significance of floral volatiles as semiochemicals in attracting insect parasitoids to these resources, while also shedding light on the role of nectar-inhabiting microbes in shaping parasitoid responses to flowering plants.
10. Damien M, Llopis S, Desneux N, Van Baaren J, Le Lann C: **How does floral nectar quality affect life history strategies in parasitic wasps?** *Entomol Gen* 2020, **40**:147-156.
  11. Zhu P, Liang R, Qin Y, Xu H, Zou Y, Johnson AC, Zhang F, Gurr GM, Lu Z: **Extrafloral and floral nectar promote biocontrol services provided by parasitoid wasps to rice crops.** *Entomol Gen* 2023, **43**:971-979.
  12. Xia S, Luo S, Li J, Yang Q, Dai C, Yang Y, Lu Y: **Fructose and glucose in buckwheat nectar enhance *Peristenus spretus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) survival and parasitism of the mirid *Apolygus lucorum*.** *Biol Control* 2021, **161**:104710.
  13. Varennes YD, Boyer S, Wratten SD: **Nectar from oilseed rape and floral subsidies enhances longevity of an aphid parasitoid more than does host honeydew.** *BioControl* 2016, **61**:631-638.
  14. Lahiri S, Orr D, Cardoza YJ, Sorenson C: **Longevity and fecundity of the egg parasitoid *Telenomus podisi* provided with different carbohydrate diets.** *Entomol Exp Appl* 2017, **162**:178-187.
  15. He X, Sigsgaard L: **A floral diet increases the longevity of the Coccinellid *Adalia bipunctata* but does not allow molting or reproduction.** *Front Ecol Evol* 2019, **7**:6.
  16. Hatt S, Osawa N: **The role of *Perilla frutescens* flowers on fitness traits of the ladybird beetle *Harmonia axyridis*.** *BioControl* 2019, **64**:381-390.
  17. Mathews CR, Brown MW, Wäckers FL: **Comparison of peach cultivars for provision of extrafloral nectar resources to *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae).** *Environ Entomol* 2016, **45**:649-657.
  18. Xiu CL, Pan HS, Ali A, Lu YH: **Extrafloral nectar of *Hibiscus cannabinus* promotes adult populations of *Harmonia axyridis*.** *Biocontrol Sci Technol* 2017, **27**:1009-1013.
  19. Bezerra RHS, Sousa-Souto L, Santana AEG, Ambrogi BG: **Indirect plant defenses: volatile organic compounds and extrafloral nectar.** *Arthropod Plant Inter* 2021, **15**:467-489.
  20. Rezende MQ, Venzon M, dos Santos PS, Cardoso IM, Janssen A: **Extrafloral nectary-bearing leguminous trees enhance pest control and increase fruit weight in associated coffee plants.** *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 2021, **319**:107538.
  21. Samaras K, Pappas ML, Fytas E, Broufas GD: **Pollen provisioning enhances the performance of *Amblydromalus limonicus* on an unsuitable prey.** *Front Ecol Evol* 2019, **7**:122.
  22. Dindo ML, Rezaei M, De Clercq P: **Improvements in the rearing of the Tachinid parasitoid *Exorista larvarum* (Diptera: Tachinidae): Influence of adult food on female longevity and reproduction capacity.** *J Insect Sci* 2019, **19**:1-6.
  23. Picciau L, Alma A, Ferracini C: **Effect of different feeding sources on lifespan and fecundity in the biocontrol agent *Torymus sinensis*.** *Biol Control* 2019, **134**:45-52.
  24. Espinosa MS, Hill JG, Virla EG: **Supplementary nutrient sources improve biological performance of *Gonatopus bonaerensis* (Hymenoptera: Dryinidae), decreasing unwanted destructive host-feeding.** *Biol Control* 2021, **160**:104659.
  25. Fernández de Bobadilla M, Moreno Ramírez N, Calvo-Agudo M, Dicke M, Tena A: **Honeydew management to promote biological control.** *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2024, **61**:101151.
  26. Peñalver-Cruz A, Satour P, Jaloux B, Lavandero B: **Honeydew is a food source and a contact kairomone for *Aphelinus mali*.** *Insects* 2023, **14**:426.
  27. Urbaneja-Bernat P, González-Cabrera J, Hernández-Suárez E, Tena A: **Honeydew of HLB vector, *Trioxa erytreae*, increases longevity, egg load and parasitism of its main parasitoid *Tamarixia dryi*.** *Biol Control* 2023, **179**:105169.
  28. Urbaneja-bernat P, Tena A, González-cabrera J, Rodríguez-saona C, Urbaneja-bernat P: **Plant guttation provides nutrient-rich food for insects.** *Proc R Soc Biol Sci* 2020, **287**:20201080.
- In this paper, the authors showed that plant guttation serves as a reliable and nutrient-rich food source for several insect species, including natural enemies, increasing their longevity and fecundity as guttation droplets contain carbohydrates and proteins. Given that they demonstrated that plant guttation is highly reliable, compared with other plant-derived food sources, such as nectar, which have profound implications on multitrophic insect-plant interactions.
29. Salas C: **Plants as food for adult natural enemies.** In *Natural Enemies of Insect Pests in Neotropical Agroecosystems*. Edited by Souza B, Marucci RC, Vázquez LL. Springer Nature; 2019:35-47.
  30. Varennes YD, Gonzalez Chang M, Boyer S, Wratten SD: **Nectar feeding increases exploratory behaviour in the aphid parasitoid *Diaeretiella rapae* (McIntosh).** *J Appl Entomol* 2016, **140**:479-483.
  31. De Clercq P: **Plants in the rearing of arthropod predators and parasitoids: benefits, constraints and alternatives.** *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2023, **61**:101139.
  32. Alencar CLDS, Nogueira A, Vicente RE, Coutinho ÍAC: **Plant species with larger extrafloral nectaries produce better quality nectar when needed and interact with the best ant partners.** *J Exp Bot* 2023, **74**:4613-4627.
  33. Huang W, Siemann E, Carrillo J, Ding J: **Below-ground herbivory limits induction of extrafloral nectar by above-ground herbivores.** *Ann Bot* 2015, **115**:841-846.
  34. Villacis-Perez E, Alba JM, Cotte J, van Loon Z, Breeuwer JAJ, Van Leeuwen T: **Interactions with plant defences isolate sympatric populations of an herbivorous mite.** *Front Ecol Evol* 2022, **10**:819894.
- In this paper, the authors found that mite folivory induces the production of sticky droplets on honeysuckle plants. These droplets increased both mite mortality and predator arrestment, suggesting a defensive role. They also found that the induction of these droplets and the preference for feeding on plants were influenced by mite genotype, with generalists avoiding this host while specialists suppress droplet production.
35. Jones IM, Koptur S: **Dynamic extrafloral nectar production: the timing of leaf damage affects the defensive response in *Senna mexicana* var. *chapmanii* (Fabaceae).** *Am J Bot* 2015, **102**:58-66.
  36. Gale CC, Lesne P, Wilson C, Helms AM, Suh CPC, Sword GA: **Foliar herbivory increases sucrose concentration in bracteal extrafloral nectar of cotton.** *PLoS One* 2021, **16**:e0258836.
- This study was the first to test systemically induced changes to the carbohydrate content of EFN in response to foliar herbivory on cotton plants. The authors found that foliar herbivory significantly increased the sucrose content of EFN, while glucose and fructose remained unchanged.
37. Raupp PP, Gonçalves RV, Calixto ES, Anjos DV: **Contrasting effects of herbivore damage type on extrafloral nectar production and ant attendance.** *Acta Oecologica* 2020, **108**:103638.
  38. Aguirre LA, Davis JK, Stevenson PC, Adler LS: **Herbivory and time since flowering shape floral rewards and pollinator-pathogen interactions.** *J Chem Ecol* 2020, **46**:978-986.
  39. Briones-May Y, Quijano-Medina T, Pérez-Niño B, Benrey B, Turlings TCJ, Bustos-Segura C, Abdala-Roberts L: **Soil salinization disrupts plant-plant signaling effects on extra-floral nectar induction in wild cotton.** *Oecologia* 2023, **202**:313-323.

40. Yoshida T, Kakuta H, Choh Y: **Pea aphids (*Acyrtosiphon pisum* Harris) reduce secretion of extrafloral nectar in broad bean (*Vicia faba*).** *Ecol Entomol* 2018, **43**:134-136.
41. Rusman Q, Poelman EH, Nowrin F, Polder G, Lucas-Barbosa D: **Floral plasticity: Herbivore - species - specific - induced changes in flower traits with contrasting effects on pollinator visitation.** *Plant Cell Environ* 2019, **42**:1882-1896.
42. Álvarez-Pérez S, Lievens B, de Vega C: **Floral nectar and honeydew microbial diversity and their role in biocontrol of insect pests and pollination.** *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2024, **61**:101138.
43. Vannette RL: **The floral microbiome: plant, pollinator, and microbial perspectives.** *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 2020, **51**:363-386.
44. Rering CC, Beck JJ, Hall GW, McCartney MM, Vannette RL: **Nectar-inhabiting microorganisms influence nectar volatile composition and attractiveness to a generalist pollinator.** *N Phytol* 2018, **220**:750-759.
45. Sobhy IS, Baets D, Goelen T, Herrera-Malaver B, Bosmans L, Van den Ende W, Verstrepen KJ, Wäckers F, Jacquemyn H, Lievens B: **Sweet scents: nectar specialist yeasts enhance nectar attraction of a generalist aphid parasitoid without affecting survival.** *Front Plant Sci* 2018, **9**:1009.
- This study was the first to investigate the behavioral response and performance of parasitoids to floral nectars that were fermented by different specialist and generalist nectar-inhabiting yeasts. The authors observed that specialist yeasts altered nectar chemistry significantly and emitted distinct mVOC blends, which strongly attracted parasitoid females. They also found that the consumption of these fermented nectars by parasitoids did not adversely affect the longevity and survival of adult individuals.
46. Lenaerts M, Goelen T, Paulussen C, Herrera-Malaver B, Steensels J, Van den Ende W, Verstrepen KJ, Wäckers F, Jacquemyn H, Lievens B: **Nectar bacteria affect life history of a generalist aphid parasitoid by altering nectar chemistry.** *Funct Ecol* 2017, **31**:2061-2069.
47. Crowley-Gall A, Rering CC, Rudolph AB, Vannette RL, Beck JJ: **Volatile microbial semiochemicals and insect perception at flowers.** *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2021, **44**:23-34.
48. Nicolson SW: **Sweet solutions: nectar chemistry and quality.** *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 2022, **377**:20210163.
49. Vannette RL, Fukami T: **Contrasting effects of yeasts and bacteria on floral nectar traits.** *Ann Bot* 2018, **121**:1343-1349.
50. Sobhy IS, Goelen T, Herrera-Malaver B, Verstrepen KJ, Wäckers F, Jacquemyn H, Lievens B: **Associative learning and memory retention of nectar yeast volatiles in a generalist parasitoid.** *Anim Behav* 2019, **153**:137-146.
51. Cusumano A, Bella P, Peri E, Rostás M, Guarino S, Lievens B, Colazza S: **Nectar-inhabiting bacteria affect olfactory responses of an insect parasitoid by altering nectar odors.** *Micro Ecol* 2023, **86**:364-376.
52. Goelen T, Sobhy IS, Vanderaa C, de Boer JG, Delvigne F, Francis F, Wäckers F, Rediers H, Verstrepen KJ, Wenseleers T, et al.: **Volatiles of bacteria associated with parasitoid habitats elicit distinct olfactory responses in an aphid parasitoid and its hyperparasitoid.** *Funct Ecol* 2020, **34**:507-520.
- In this study, the author assessed how volatile compounds emitted by phylogenetically diverse bacteria, from diverse sources from the parasitoid's habitat including host honeydew, affected the olfactory response of a primary parasitoid and its hyperparasitoid. The parasitoid showed a wide variation in response to bacterial volatiles, ranging from significant attraction over no response to significant repellence, which was different than the hyperparasitoid.
53. Wari D, Kabir MA, Mujiono K, Hojo Y, Shinya T, Tani A, Nakatani H, Galis I: **Honeydew-associated microbes elicit defense responses against brown planthopper in rice.** *J Exp Bot* 2019, **70**:1683-1696.
54. Cusumano A, Lievens B: **Microbe-mediated alterations in floral nectar: consequences for insect parasitoids.** *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2023, **60**:101116.
55. Ermio JDL, Peri E, Bella P, Rostás M, Sobhy IS, Wenseleers T, Colazza S, Lievens B, Cusumano A: **The indirect effect of nectar-inhabiting yeasts on olfactory responses and longevity of two stink bug egg parasitoids.** *BioControl* 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-023-10237-y>
56. Liu J, Xiao D, Liu Y, Zhan Y, Francis F, Liu Y: **Chemical cues from honeydew-associated bacteria to enhance parasitism efficacy: from laboratory to field assay.** *J Pest Sci* 2024, **97**:873-884.
57. Hyjazie BF, Sargent RD: **Manipulation of soil mycorrhizal fungi • influences floral traits.** *N Phytol* 2024, **242**:675-686.
- The authors performed a meta-regression of studies that focused on how arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) manipulate floral traits, such as floral display size and reward quality. They found that the presence of AMF was associated with positive effects on floral display size with a positive but statistically insignificant influence on rewards.
58. Bennett AE, Meek HC: **The influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plant reproduction.** *J Chem Ecol* 2020, **46**:707-721.
59. Godschalx AL, Schadler M, Trisel JA, Balkan MA, Ballhorn DJ: **Ants are less attracted to the extrafloral nectar of plants with symbiotic, nitrogen-fixing rhizobia.** *Ecology* 2015, **96**:348-354.
60. Sobhy IS, Lou Y, Bruce TJA: **Inducing plant resistance against insects using exogenous bioactive chemicals: key advances and future perspectives.** *Front Plant Sci* 2022, **13**:1-3.
61. Sobhy IS, Erb M, Lou Y, Turlings TCJ: **The prospect of applying chemical elicitors and plant strengtheners to enhance the biological control of crop pests.** *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 2014, **369**:20120283.
62. Hernandez-Cumplido J, Forter B, Moreira X, Heil M, Benrey B: **Induced floral and extrafloral nectar production affect ant-pollinator interactions and plant fitness.** *Biotropica* 2016, **48**:342-348.
63. Chinarelli HD, Nogueira A, Leal LC: **Extrafloral nectar production • induced by simulated herbivory does not improve ant bodyguard attendance and ultimately plant defence.** *Biol J Linn Soc* 2022, **135**:429-446.
- In this study, the authors found that plant induction by JA application, as a simulated herbivory, increased the volume of extrafloral nectar and the mass of sugar per nectary without affecting the sugar concentration or the patterns of plant attendance and defense by ants.
64. Williams L, Rodriguez-Saona C, del Conte SCC: **Methyl jasmonate induction of cotton: a field test of the "attract and reward" strategy of conservation biological control.** *AoB Plants* 2017, **9**:1-15.
65. Xiao L, Carrillo J, Siemann E, Ding J: **Herbivore-specific induction of indirect and direct defensive responses in leaves and roots.** *AoB Plants* 2019, **11**:1-12.
66. Calixto ES, Lange D, Bronstein J, Torezan-Silingardi HM, Del-Claro K: **Optimal Defense Theory in an ant-plant mutualism: extrafloral nectar as an induced defence is maximized in the most valuable plant structures.** *J Ecol* 2021, **109**:167-178.
67. Stefani V, Alves VN, Lange D: **Induced indirect defence in a spider-plant system mediated by pericarpial nectaries.** *Austral Ecol* 2019, **44**:1005-1012.
68. Abdala-Roberts L, Reyes-Hernández M, Quijano-Medina T, Moreira X, Francisco M, Angulo DF, Parra-Tabla V, Virgen A, Rojas JC: **Effects of amount and recurrence of leaf herbivory on the induction of direct and indirect defences in wild cotton.** *Plant Biol* 2019, **21**:1063-1071.
69. Yamawo A, Suzuki N: **Induction and relaxation of extrafloral nectaries in response to simulated herbivory in young *Mallotus japonicus* plants.** *J Plant Res* 2018, **131**:255-260.
70. Gillespie MAK, Gurr GM, Wratten SD: **Beyond nectar provision: the other resource requirements of parasitoid biological control agents.** *Entomol Exp Appl* 2016, **159**:207-221.