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ABSTRACT
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Contemporary adult/tertiary education in the human services field incorporates a number of approaches to facilitate students’ learning of knowledge and skills. Varied classroom teaching methods, simulated workplaces and workplace practicums all offer ways of connecting theoretical knowledge with practice or constructed knowledge (Healy 2005). While the importance of practical learning strategies has long been recognised (Kilpatrick 1918) debates about quantifying or qualifying the way practice builds knowledge have continued (Goldstein 2001, Shardlow & Dole 1996).

This paper discusses one solution to overcoming the theory-practice dichotomy by using problem based learning with human services students. Following an outline of the theoretical knowledge/practice skills debate, problem based learning is defined and the participation project ‘Snapshot of a generation’ is described. The project outcomes are discussed and the way theory and knowledge were interconnected in the project is highlighted.

Theoretical knowledge versus practical skills
The vocational education (VET) sector’s focus on training for competence has apparently widened the gap between knowledge and skills. Theoretical knowledge is the province of universities while registered training organisations develop trainee’s ability to ‘simply perform skills’ (Ling, 1999, p.1) presumably without knowing why they do them. In the human services field where practitioners engage with societies most vulnerable and disadvantaged members, knowing both how and why you take a particular course of action is vital to working ethically and effectively (Bowles et al 2006).

The VET sector provides a substantial part of the human services work force, particularly in non-government organisations that bear the brunt of neo liberal social policy with decreasing resources and increasing demand the norm (Alston 2002). VET courses – diplomas, certificates – are shorter and cheaper than university courses and are developed and adapted to industry changes via consultation with
industry representatives (TAFENSW 2005). Competencies are said to be the industry standard in whichever skill group they define performance.

The challenge for VET trainers/teachers in the human services field is to turn competency standards into locally relevant learning experiences that result in useful employees. This is particularly important in a rural area where the employers, the trainers, service consumers and the students are likely to come into contact socially and professionally for years to come. The well-being of rural communities relies on a well-trained and active civil society (non-government human services) sector (Alston 2002). Developing the connection between theoretical perspectives and related practical strategies in real local examples is the goal of the teacher/trainer’s project reported in this paper.

**Project based learning**

Project-based learning is a comprehensive instructional approach to engage students in sustained, cooperative investigation (Bransford & Stein, 1993). Project-based learning (PBL) is most commonly found in secondary education in the United States. It has been referred to as problem-based learning and also inquiry based learning (Bransford & Stein, 1993).

In the PBL approach, students are required to answer a question or develop a product for example. In doing this they are able to take control of the learning environment and process, working in groups to complete a series of tasks to reach the project outcome (Brogan 2006). Because the project involves complex tasks a range of interdisciplinary skills is developed as opposed to focusing on one aspect of knowledge or skill development – mathematics for example (Blumenfeld, Soloway, Marx et al 1991). The benefits for students are described as enhanced problem-solving skills, communication skills, team work skills and an understanding of the abstract or theoretical concepts behind the project issue including the way these are translated into action (Harriman 2003; Blumenfeld, Soloway, Marx et al 1991; Brown & Campione 1994).

As competency standards are designed to be integrated into workplace tasks, projects that involve interdisciplinary ideas and actions represent the workplace more effectively than trying to isolate and then teach aspects of communication,
group work and research for example. In Australia PBL is a favoured teaching
approach in some universities with a growing body of literature exploring the benefits
and problems of this learning strategy. While the benefits include those listed above,
problems include difficulty with assessment, team building and in the engineering
sector, resource allocation (e.g. Seidel & Godfrey 2005). The way these issues can
be addressed is discussed at length by Seidel & Godfrey (2005) and is not the
subject of this paper.

The PBL example from this report was developed from the desire of VET
teacher/trainers to maintain their industry skills, provide a service to agencies who
frequently took students for practicums and because they were tired of the usual
face to face teaching regardless of how many adult learning styles they addressed.
Teaching a competency designed to develop research skills seemed pointless without
doing some research that had value for the local community and relevance to the
students involved.

The following sections of the paper describe the project ‘Snapshot of a generation’,
and discusses project based learning to highlight the way theory and constructed
knowledge inform each other with a number of benefits to education in the human
services field.

**The participation project**

In 2006 a seven member student group aged from 19 years to 46 years were in the
final year of the Diploma in Community Services Work through TAFENSW. They all
lived in Orange, a regional town in central west NSW about 3 hours west of Sydney.
They agreed to undertake a project commissioned by the local council community
services division. The local council wanted to include children’s views in their
community planning process but found teenager’s views easier to access than
younger children’s. The project brief was to seek and record a group of children’s
views, present them to council in an appropriate format and evaluate the
participation process with the children involved. The theory to be elaborated was
(broadly) participation in society is a basic human right therefore everybody should
be enabled to participate in public processes that concern them (UNICEF 1995).
The students began their project with an investigation of the way children are represented in society by collecting newspaper reports about children. Students also completed a content analysis of children’s appearances in television programs. Students noted that pre-teenagers were most frequently depicted as cartoon characters (Bart Simpson, Eliza Thornberry for example), as babies that did not speak or as recipients of education, health messages or consumer goods, not as active participants in society.

Childhood and adolescence are socially constructed stages that are highly idealised in western nations (Levesque 1999). Moving from childhood to adolescence in Australia is measured in age – turning thirteen – and in structured ritual – going to high school. Following the project group’s discovery of the cartoon depictions of pre-teenagers, the acknowledgement that opinions of teenagers are more often sought than children’s (Kang, Scharmann & Noh 2003) and that anecdotally there were social expectations from children and parents of the transition to high school they determined that eleven and twelve year olds were an appropriate target group for the participation project.

To give children a voice in community planning processes and in the community generally the students decided to survey all the local children who were in their final year of primary school and report the results in the local newspaper, to the NSW Commissioner for Children and Young People as well as to the local council for inclusion in the community plan.

*Snapshot of a generation* was a special feature published in an Australian regional daily newspaper in 1998. The original report’s author could not be located and the newspaper had no copyright restrictions over the original survey nor any knowledge where it was derived from. The 2006 student group determined that the survey could meet their aim of giving children a voice in the community and the council’s need to know about local children’s view of the community. The students negotiated with their local newspaper’s editor to publish the results.

The survey consisted of several sections. It had 63 questions over 5 pages. The only demographic information collected was sex of respondent. The sections - school, family, sport and health, religion and society and media and entertainment -
included quantitative and qualitative questions within each section. For example within the religion and society section a question asked, do you go to church regularly? Respondents could tick yes or no. The following question asked, what does religion mean to you? Several lines were provided for the answer. The broad range of qualitative questions sought participant’s views in their own words.

Method
The students planned and completed all the tasks required in this project. All principals were contacted by telephone, and then sent a letter with a copy of the new survey and the 1998 Snapshot of a Generation article. A follow-up phone call was made to find out if the school wished to participate. Participating students were Year six children from local schools whose principals agreed to be involved in the project. Seven schools participated out of nine that had year six classes. Four participating schools were government funded and three were privately funded from student fees.

Participating schools were sent enough copies of the survey for each child in year six classes, instructions for class teachers and arrangements were made for collection of completed surveys one week later. Teacher’s instructions included that the survey was confidential and no names were required, that children should not discuss their answers with each other while completing the survey and that teachers should not make suggestions about what to write. Class teachers handed out and collected the surveys when completed. A total of 311 completed surveys were returned.

Project team members collated and reduced the data from the completed surveys. Each question was tallied separately and quantitative data was recorded into spread sheets. Qualitative data was rewritten onto separate sheets of paper and then reduced into themes related to the section it originated from. Care was taken to record participant’s views in their own words. Each team member worked on several questions within a section, recording the responses, reducing the data into themes and writing up the findings for that question. Each team member consulted with others to ensure the validity of their analysis. Results were grouped by sex of participant. Where possible, results from the current survey were compared with the 1998 newspaper report. The discussion points of the final report and related recommendations were developed from a team evaluation of the results,
incorporating issues raised in the literature review and issues noted and discussed during the data analysis phase.

**Follow-up**

The completed report was sent to the newspaper editor. Stories from the report were published every day for six days. The final report was sent to the local council for inclusion in the community plan and also to the NSW Commissioner for Children and Young People for inclusion in her library.

To evaluate the implementation of participation theory, students needed to know if the children completing the survey perceived they had been involved with and visible to the adult community. To develop other research method experience, the students decided to hold focus groups.

Three months after the newspaper publications two focus groups of survey respondents were convened to find out the children’s views about the project. Two schools were contacted and agreed to select six children from those who volunteered to participate in a group discussion about the survey and the newspaper articles. A focus group was held at each school with six children. The discussion lasted forty minutes and children were asked what they remembered about the survey, if they had seen the newspaper articles and what they thought about the project including the newspaper stories, the local council community plan and the NSW Commission for Children and Young People.

The focus groups were convened in the school halls. Children were shown a blank copy of the survey, informed about the focus group process and asked if they had any questions. They were informed that the discussion had nothing to do with their school results and that they could stop participating at any time. The following discussions were recorded by two project team members who scribed onto large sheets of paper. At the end of discussion about each of the three questions the scribes held up their notes and read them back to the group. Group participants were asked if they agreed with the notes and if they would like to change or add anything to what was recorded.
The focus groups found that children remembered doing the survey but did not recall much about the questions. The questions that most interested them and that both groups reported talking about in the playground later were about what they would do when they were adults, both personally in their family life and for a career.

Comments made about the survey included;

*It was a bit dull.*

*It took too long to do.*

*We talked about it after, about what we’d do when we grew up and stuff.*

All of the children in the focus groups had seen the newspaper articles. Teachers had brought copies of the paper to school and children reported their parents buying the paper when they usually did not. The children were excited about their views being in the paper and reported talking about the articles with their parents and with friends at school.

*My mum and dad asked about the bullying and if I was scared of getting kidnapped or robbed.*

*We brought the paper to school and everyone tried to work out if what they said was in there.*

The focus group participants described feeling that they were important and that their opinions were important when they were in the paper. When told that the report would be seen by other people who made decisions in local and state government the children said it was good if politicians listened to them. Children’s statements included:

*I hope we get a new swimming pool*

*They might ask us about other stuff*

The students described the focus groups as a vital stage in the connection of theory and practice because they provided feedback about turning the ideals of the project into action. The students were able to reflect on what had worked towards their goal of participation for children and what was more important for all the adults involved. For the students finishing the project and their course was frequently the main goal. For the project commissioners, it was important they could incorporate views from a target group specified in the NSW Local Government Act for local government plans.
Discussion of project outcomes

The competencies from the Community services Training package (CHC02) that were achieved by the students during this project included *Undertake research activities, Develop and implement a community development strategy, Develop, implement and promote effective communication techniques* and *Reflect and improve upon professional practice*. The student group devised the methodology, managed the project, conducted and evaluated the research, wrote the report and disseminated the findings. The teacher/trainer’s role was to present theoretical concepts at relevant stages (ethical issues of research with children for example), and facilitate reflection on the process and knowledge developed, managing conflict along the way.

The students were totally engaged and enthralled by the research and learning process during *Snapshot of a Generation*. They became very protective of the findings and committed to the goal of publishing children’s voices in an accessible manner. The project had multiple tasks related to research, networking, group work, communication with children and adults, professional confidence and conduct, planning, record keeping and participating in local government processes as part of promoting the participation of children. Team members had to contact community leaders such as school principals and the newspaper editor, explain their project and promote the benefits of involvement in it. They had to produce high quality documents for publication to a diverse audience and they had to communicate appropriately and effectively with children. Working together, planning and problem solving were daily tasks vital to meeting project deadlines.

The project process had to include ways of consolidating knowledge. It was important to the teacher/trainer that team members reflected on why they undertook certain courses of action, referred back to the participative theory underpinning the project and reflected on what they would do differently next time. Once the project had been decided on and a timeline established for completion, some aspects of teaching follow easily. In research, the development of a proposal including methodology lends itself to outlining the theory, discussing the way it relates to the project, developing a plan and implementing it. Evaluation of the plan
and its implementation are vital to reflect on the process and learn from it for the next stage or the next project.

Evaluation and reflection strategies during Snapshot included morning meetings where the project tasks were identified for the day and recorded in a minutes book. The book was reviewed weekly before progress meetings with members of the local council community services team who provided feedback to the students about the project and asked them to reflect on their weeks work including what they had learnt. This enabled the teacher/facilitator to highlight aspects of the project that related to competency elements or generate activities and tasks around elements that were overlooked.

At times of conflict in the group, a meeting would be held, the issue/s discussed and a decision made by the students about what action would be taken. This was also recorded in the minutes book which became an important record of the project and also a tool for assessment. This record keeping process embeds evaluation of teaching and learning strategies in the project and continues teacher/facilitator’s learning alongside students.

Discussion of Project-based learning as a participatory process

Project-based learning (PBL) subverts the process of typical human services practicum experiences where students develop skills in the workplace because the project comes into the classroom to be completed by the group with peer support and facilitated by the teacher/trainer. However the project is not a simulation and the outcome is a product of some sort that is important for the particular aspect of human service delivery. Workplace employees who commission the project and determine its parameters become mentors and advisers to the project team. The stages of the project are linked to theory along the way via formal teaching strategies and group processes such as discussion and reflection.

The challenge for teacher/facilitators of project-based learning is firstly to link the project with the curriculum but more importantly to find ways to link learning with the experience of doing. Linking theory and practice has long been the challenge for educators (e.g. Healy 2005). In the Vocational Education and Training (VET) sector, competency based learning has clearly, and some would say narrowly, defined skills
related to tasks (e.g. Jennings, 1991, Ling 1999). For educators in this sector, ways of making particular skills meaningful and demonstrating their usefulness remain linked to the theory underpinning the doing regardless of the performance emphasis of the competency framework. For example in Snapshot it was vital to begin with conceptual understandings of participation and the social construction of childhood to inform the way the project would develop. Without this grounding the students may not have made such a strong commitment to promoting children’s voices. It is the conceptual grounding that gives meaning to the related skills and tasks.

Citizen participation is frequently promoted as a goal of governance or government processes. Consumers, service users and community members are invited to participate in policy development and service delivery particularly in the health and welfare sector. Educators in this sector train students in participatory strategies such as needs analysis and asset based community development so future human service workers can use participatory processes in their work. Participation (also called community engagement) is a goal many universities have to make them relevant to their local communities (Egan 2005).

Translating participatory ideals into practice has proven difficult for many reasons (e.g. Wyse 2001). However, in a sector where empowerment and social justice are the key principles guiding practice (e.g. AASW 2006) and a history of ‘grass roots’ responses to social issues is upheld as an appropriate way of being effective the positive premise of participation is assumed. The Snapshot project had ideals of participation at several levels – community and student group - with participants engaged in both levels simultaneously. It also had ideals of imparting human services practice skills in the safe and usually simulated theoretical environment of the classroom.

PBL challenges the tension between formal theoretical knowledge and constructed practice knowledge that plays out in attempts to turn ideals into practice. A post-modern view of this tension reveals a contested site that is shaped around the academically constructed dualism of theory/practice. The dualism hides the way people learn, who learns and what knowledge is developed.
Participation is inherent in the teaching/learning relationship and this is enhanced by project based learning because the process is fluid, unpredictable and relies on mutual respect and trust. Teacher/trainers have to trust that the students will engage in the process and that they can work out what has to be done and by when. Students have to trust that teacher/trainers will provide them with the information they need in a timely fashion and guide the process so links can be made between tasks and knowledge.

It can be argued that most education is undertaken to get a new job or a different job. Education is inextricably linked to doing work because it suggests why tasks or projects should be done in certain ways. It is inherently practical regardless of whether it is delivered in universities, TAFE colleges or schools. The theory/practice, formal knowledge/constructed knowledge, teacher/student dualisms can prevent educators and students taking up the possibilities of participating in constructing knowledge. Project based learning opens up these possibilities.

**Postscript**

When the conference flyer came out for *United We Stand* (2006), a combined conference of social work, welfare and community practitioners and educators, an abstract about *Snapshot* was written and accepted and the project team swung into action. They got a letter of support for their work from the local Mayor, wrote letters and made phone calls requesting donations, held barbecues and chocolate drives and raised enough money for their conference registrations and airfares. The students wrote the paper about their project and although extremely nervous about the forum and their abilities, successfully presented their work. The feedback was positive. They met a lot of people and learnt a great deal from other presentations and from doing their own. The student’s goal of promoting children’s participation had the unforeseen outcome of promoting their own participation in public processes concerned with education and service delivery in the field they were training in.

I wanted them to write this paper but they got jobs instead.
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