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Abstract: The aim of this article is to discuss the lessons learned from conducting semi-structured interviews online in an ethnographic study that took place in Saudi Arabia during the period 2001-2002. The purpose of the study was to explore individuals' participation in online communities in Saudi Arabia and also understand how online communities in Saudi Arabia are affecting participants' offline culture. Semi-structured online interviews were used to report the perceptions of 15 participants (8 females, 7 males) about their online community experience in Saudi Arabia.

After a brief background on Saudi culture, the article discusses the conceptual and methodological aspects of the study. It then discusses semi-structured interviews, highlighting the rationale for conducting them online. A discussion of sampling and participant selection follows. The article then discusses the recruitment of participants and the difficulties involved in this process. The ways in which the online interviews were conducted and the data were analysed are discussed next. The article concludes by offering a discussion of the lessons learned from using this technique.
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1. Introduction

Semi-structured interviews have long been conducted face-to-face in qualitative, and even in some quantitative, research because of their ability in gaining rich and in-depth experiential accounts from individuals. Computer-mediated communication (CMC) offers yet another medium through which semi-structured interviews can be conducted. CMC technology has made possible the conduct of research in offline environments such as conservative societies that were previously difficult to access. It is also now possible to study groups of people who are otherwise inaccessible\(^1\), such as females in the Saudi gender-segregated society. \([1]\)

Semi-structured online interviews, and the lessons learned from using them in an ethnographic study, are the topics of the following discussion. The study was conducted in Saudi Arabia during the period 2001-2002. The purpose of the study was to explore individuals' participation in online communities\(^2\) in Saudi Arabia and also understand how online communities in Saudi Arabia are affecting participants' offline culture. A total of fifteen semi-structured online interviews were conducted with eight female participants and seven male participants for the purpose of elucidating their perspectives on their online community experience in Saudi Arabia\(^3\). \([2]\)

2. The Researched Culture

Saudi society is largely conservative and religious. Islam plays a central role in defining the culture and determining the norms, values, attitudes, and practices of society (ALMUNAJJED, 1997). One of the important features that profoundly influence every aspect of public and social life in Saudi Arabia is the segregation of sexes. Segregation of the sexes is maintained physically, socially and psychologically. This segregation, which does not permit women to mix with unrelated men in Saudi Arabia, is prescribed by the Islamic religion (ALMUNAJJED, 1997; EMBER & EMBER, 1998; WHEELER, 2000). It is a general rule that applies to education, banking, public transportation and the workplace. It also applies to restaurants, schools, and libraries. "The practice of segregation and confining women to their own company is an institutional mechanism designed to regulate women", to protect their chastity and to "prevent other men from encroaching on the male honour of the family" (ALMUNAJJED, 1997, p.8, p.34). \([3]\)

---

1 To male researchers.
2 See Note 10 below.
3 For information about the results of this research see (AL-SAGGAF, WECKERT, & WILLIAMSON, 2002a, 2002b, 2002c; AL-SAGGAF, 2004).
One of the most striking consequences of the use of online communities in Saudi Arabia is that they have enabled males and females to communicate with each other in a way not possible before. While they remain physically segregated when communicating with each other online, their communication makes them, to some extent, overcome this gender separation. This makes the question of whether (or not) this form of communication is wrong, remain unanswered. While it is clear that face-to-face contact between women and unrelated men is wrong, it is not clear if online communication across gender lines is also wrong, particularly because the later lacks face-to-face contact. Perhaps more research on this technology will help answer this question. [4]

3. Conceptual Framework and Methodology

This was an interpretive, naturalistic study, the method of which was guided by a constructivist paradigm, which emphasises understanding the multiple meanings people make of the phenomenon under study. Social construct theory (one of two constructivist theories) provided the theoretical lens through which the method in this study was applied and the data were interpreted. Social constructivists recognise the effect of the social environment, culture and religion on how people construct their realities about their world (BERGER & LUCKMANN, 1967). They argue that meaning is developed through the interactions of social processes involving people, language and religion (BERGER & LUCKMANN, 1967). They see people as developing meanings for their activities together, that is, people "socially" construct reality (WILLIAMSON, 2000a, p.30). Religion and culture in Saudi Arabia not only shape people's attitudes, practices, and behaviours, but also shape the way they see and do things and perceive their lives. Similarly, the social environment, in the case of online communities "the web-based forum technology", may also exact some influence on people's behaviour both online and offline. This makes social construct theory appropriate for understanding online communities in that society. Additionally, the use of this theory to investigate and understand online communities is also in line with the literature (see, for example, JONES, 1998, p.5; MARKHAM, 1998; COSTIGAN, 1999; FERNBACK,1999; DODGE & KITCHIN, 2001; MANASZEWICS, WILLIAMSON, & McKEMMISH, 2002). [5]

The method used in this study was ethnography. Constructivism is one of a number of frameworks in which ethnography can be carried out. The purpose of ethnography is to produce a written description of a people's way of life, beliefs and daily activities (FETTERMAN, 1989). As this requires an in-depth understanding of the people, events, activities and social structures under study,

---

4 Another constructivist theory is the personal construction of reality.
5 A forum is a specific type of software that supports the facilitation and implementation of discussion groups through the exchange of messages via computer networks (CSU, 2004).
6 This researcher takes the position of distinguishing between the terms "technique" and "method". The former should mean the specific procedures that are used to collect or analyse data. The latter should mean the general rules that govern the implementation of these procedures.
7 Other frameworks are critical theory and post-modern ethnography (SAULE, 2000).
ethnography conducted from within a constructivist paradigm appeared to be the best approach. One of the important aspects of constructivist ethnography is that it allows the eliciting of the individuals' perceptions about their experiences. Another aspect is that it allows multiple interpretation of realities and alternative interpretations of data to be presented (FETTERMAN, 1989). Again the use of ethnography to study online environments is advocated by many researchers including PACCAGNLLA (1997), HINE (1998, 2000), MARKHAM (1998), MILLER and SLATER (2000), NOCERA (2000), PREECE (2000), RHEINGOLD (2000), HAMMAN (2001) and MANN and STEWART (2000). Ethnography also provides constructivists with a variety of different techniques for data collection, one of which is semi-structured interviewing (SAULE 2000). [6]

### 4. Types of Interviews

Interviewing includes a wide variety of forms. For example, interviews can be structured, semi-structured and unstructured. In structured interviewing, the interviewer asks all respondents the same series of questions in the same order or sequence with a limited set of response categories offered (FONTANA & FREY, 2000, p.649). The interviewer records the responses according to a prepared coding scheme. In semi-structured interviewing (also called focused interviewing), the interviewer uses a guide or schedule developed around a list of issues that are central to the research question. (See Section 7.4 below for information on the schedule used.) The order of questioning is not fixed and the type of questions allows for greater flexibility than in the case of the structured interview (MINICHIHELLO, ARONI, TIMWELL, & ALEXANDER, 1996, p.65). In unstructured interviewing, the interviewer dispenses with formal interview schedules and ordering of questions and relies on the social interaction between interviewer and informant to elicit information. The unstructured interview takes on the appearance of a normal everyday conversation. However, it is always a controlled conversation which is geared to the interviewer's research interests (MINICHIHELLO, ARONI, TIMWELL, & ALEXANDER, 1996, p.65). [7]

### 5. In-Depth Interviewing

Unstructured and semi-structured interviews are ways of doing in-depth interviewing. In-depth interviews have been used extensively as a major technique in qualitative research (MARKHAM, 1998; MARSHALL & ROSSMAN, 1999; NOCERA, 2000; WILLIAMSON, 2000a) due to their ability in gaining in-depth and rich accounts of individuals' perceptions about their lives (KUMAR, 1996; FONTANA & FREY, 2000). In-depth interviewing means that, rather than focusing on the researcher's perspective as the valid view, it is the informant's account which is being sought and is highly valued. Moreover, in-depth interviewing allows the researcher to elucidate the informants' world by understanding their perspectives in a language that is natural to them. [8]
For the purpose of revealing the perceptions of participants about their online community experience in Saudi Arabia and how that online experience affected them, semi-structured, in-depth interviews were considered appropriate.\(^8\) \([9]\)

### 6. Rationale for Using Online Interviewing

There are many reasons why the semi-structured in-depth interviews, used in the project, were conducted online. First, it is very difficult to conduct interviews with females face-to-face or on the phone in Saudi Arabia because Saudi society, as mentioned before, is gender-segregated. It is also difficult to ask a female researcher or interviewer to help with interviewing females face-to-face, because the act of communicating with an unrelated female\(^9\), either face-to-face or by telephone, is itself wrong according to the Saudi culture. This made conducting interviews online with females a strategic option. Second, and related to the first point, had interviews with males been conducted face-to-face and with females online, bias to the research findings may have been introduced as a result of the differences in the use of the techniques to collect data. Third, the anonymity inherent in the online medium often encourages people to disclose more about themselves (MOTLUCK, 1997 cited in HAMMAN, 1999; HAMMAN, 1999; PREECE, 2000). This made online interviewing a strategic tool to gather sensitive information in a society such as the Saudi, where individuals frown upon those inquiring into their personal affairs (ALMUNAJJED, 1997). \([10]\)

### 7. Data Collection

#### 7.1 The forum\(^{10}\) from which participants were recruited

The forum\(^{11}\) from which participants were recruited was an asynchronous public discussion web forum, hosted by one of the largest and fastest growing online service providers in Saudi Arabia.\(^{12}\) The main reason for the selection of this site is that it was easy to access, it was user-friendly, and it had a large user base. The forum is an example of an online community, as defined by Rheingold (2000), which is a "social aggregation that emerge from the Net when enough people carry on public discussions long enough, with sufficient human feeling, to form webs of personal relationships in cyberspace." The forum is a platform on which a community exists.

---

\(^8\) Other techniques used in the study were silent observation of an online community; a participant role by the researcher in another similar online community and face-to-face semi-structured interviews with key informants.

\(^9\) While it is wrong, from a religious point of view, to communicate with an unrelated female on this matter, it is possible to communicate with a blood related female, but such a person was not available to this researcher.

\(^10\) The term "online forum" should not be thought of as a synonym for the term "online community". The former should be understood as a "platform", "place", or "environment". The latter should be understood here as "social aggregations that emerge from the Net when enough people carry on those public discussions long enough, with sufficient human feeling, to form webs of personal relationships in cyberspace" (RHEINGOLD, 2000). This means the forum is "platform" of which a community exist around.

\(^11\) For ethical considerations, the name of the forum under study and its URL were omitted as KING (1996) and EYSENBACK and TILL (2001) suggest should be the case when carrying out research on Internet communities.

\(^12\) The researcher, Dr Yeslam AL-SAGGAF, originally comes from Yemen. He is a permanent resident of Saudi Arabia and a 29 year old unmarried man. He holds a degree in Engineering from Malaysia and a Masters and a PhD in Information Technology from Charles Sturt University, Australia, where he now works as a lecturer. Having spent many years in Saudi Arabia, he is very familiar with the Saudi society and its culture. In addition, Yemen and Saudi Arabia not only share the same language and religion but also most of the cultural characteristics.
was the richness of information available, which is a requirement for the choice of a research site (MAXWELL, 1996). At the time of doing observation, the main page in this forum contained links to newly posted topics or messages only. Older topics resided in other pages that could be accessed through small links that were found on the main page. In addition to the title of a topic, each page contained information like the nickname of the author of the topic, the date the topic was posted, the number of times the topic was read and the number of replies to it. [11]

At the time of collecting data for this research there were approximately 10,000 members in the forum studied. But of those 10,000 only some, in the order of a few hundreds, were active in their interaction with others. The rest were either irregular or inactive or joined the forum for a short while and then left. Members discussed all types of topics in this forum. Table 1 lists some of the types of topics that were commonly discussed online. Religion was as important in the lives of these members as it is in their lives offline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Love</th>
<th>Marriage</th>
<th>Politics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Literature</td>
<td>Life style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community matters</td>
<td>Personal problems</td>
<td>Local/International news</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poems</td>
<td>Advice</td>
<td>Religious matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women issues</td>
<td>Intellectual</td>
<td>Trivia/chatting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jokes/humour</td>
<td>Games/quizzes</td>
<td>Personal stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Games/quizzes</td>
<td>IT topics</td>
<td>Sport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Topics that dominated discussions in the studied online communities [12]
7.2 Sampling and participant selection

Most researchers prefer purposive sampling for interpretive studies (see, for example, LINCOLN & GUBA, 1985; CRESWELL, 1998; GLESNE, 1999; MARSHALL & ROSSMAN, 1999; CHARMAZ, 2000; LOCKE, SPIRDUSO & SILVERMAN, 2000; WILLIAMSON, 2000b). Purposive sampling allows researchers to choose cases that are representative of all sub-groups and personal characteristics which might be of interest to the study. Although there is no way of ensuring that the sample selected is representative in the probability sense, as WILLIAMSON (2000b) argues, BRYMAN (1988, p.90) says: "the issue should be couched in terms of the generalisability of cases to theoretical propositions rather than to populations or universes". That is, purposive sampling is driven by theoretical considerations rather than the need to count multiple cases of the same group. In this sense also, purposive sampling is related to theoretical sampling which is again related to the concept of theoretical saturation (the point when no new information is added) (WILLIAMSON, 2000b). Purposive sampling was adopted for the study, with the concept of saturation sampling being observed. Participants were recruited to the point when no new information was forth-coming. [13]

Participants for the interviews were selected from an asynchronous web-based forum, the interactions on which were observed by the researcher daily for twelve months before the interviews began. After receiving the ethics approval from Charles Sturt University to conduct online semi-structured interviews, a list of the nicknames of the participants in the observed community was made. The list did not include all the participants in the observed community because many participants come to the community and many others leave, and not all participants are active or regular in their interaction with others in the community, indicating their lack of commitment to the place and the group (Q. JONES, 1997). The inactive or irregular participants, although subscribers to the community, do not necessarily belong to it. The participants whose nicknames were listed were those who had been active or regular in their interaction with others in the community for a year or more. [14]

After the list was produced, some of the information known about the selected participants, noted from continuously observing the community where the participants operate, was placed in front of their nicknames. This information included age, education, gender, geographic location, marital status, time spent in the community and a comment about the regularity of their participation in the community. Most of these details were known either from participants' personal profiles13 or from the details they provided when they introduced themselves to the community. The comment about the regularity of participation was made according to how the researcher viewed and perceived these participants, while continuously observing the community where the participants operate. This list was then divided into two main groups based on participants' gender. Since in the online medium it is difficult to be certain about the gender of a participant, gender of

---

13 At the time of registration, members are expected to record in their personal profiles some of their details, such as, age, gender, and geographic location.
participants was noted according to what these participants said about themselves in the observed community, that is, how they presented themselves to others in the observed community. Moreover, by observing the community for a year, it was noted that gender switching among regular and committed participants was difficult. This is because when participants claim to be males, their male friends in the community may discover if they are not males when they seek to call them on the phone or meet them outside the community, as is often the case. The same applies when participants claim to be females. [15]

The male and female groups originally listed, were further organised into three sub-groups for each gender, according to participants' age. The first sub-group included female participants who were relatively young (15-20 years old). The second sub-group included female participants who were 21-25 years old. The third sub-group included female participants who were 26-50 years old. Similar sub-groupings to those of the female participants group were made with the male participants' group. (See Fig.1, below, for information on the process of sampling).

From each of the six sub-groups mentioned above (three sub-groups for males and three sub-groups for females), two participants were selected for online interviews, making a total of twelve interviewees. While the participants were selected in this way to allow a diverse range of characteristics to be represented, the sample is not large and "representative" of all the online community participants, nor is it intended to be. Using the logic of interpretive research, the researcher was more interested in understanding, in-depth, the experiences and perceptions of diverse individuals than in being able to generalise those experiences and perceptions to a larger population (PATTON, 1990; GLESNE, 14 The majority of participants in the online community were under thirty years old, which is consistent with research elsewhere—see, for example, WHEELER (2002). For this reason the age span (26-50) was appropriate.

14 The majority of participants in the online community were under thirty years old, which is consistent with research elsewhere—see, for example, WHEELER (2002). For this reason the age span (26-50) was appropriate.
1999; LOCKE, SPIRDOUSO & SILVERMAN, 2000). When interviews were analysed and results were carefully studied, more information to further illuminate some of the interviewees' responses was needed. (For example, when the twelfth interviewee, a female, said that joining the community did not make her feel important in the society because on the forum only talk is exchanged, this new lead needed to be followed.) Thus, three more participants (a male and two females) were interviewed, making the total number of interviewees fifteen. (See Table 2 below for further information on details15 of participants selected for online interviews.) Deciding to include additional participants was in harmony, not only with the concept of purposive/theoretical sampling but also, in general, with a study that is interpretive in nature and where the design of the research is flexible and emergent (LINCOLN & GUBA, 1985; PATTON, 1990; CHARMAZ, 2000). In fact, LINCOLN and GUBA (1985) caution that "the design of a naturalistic study ... cannot be given in advance; it must emerge, develop, unfold ..." (p.225). Here also the reader is reminded that the determining factor of the number of interviewees was saturation (the point when no new information is added). That is, interviews in this study were conducted until patterns emerged and started repeating. Details of all the participants, finally selected, are provided in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants' Names</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Geographic location</th>
<th>Martial status</th>
<th>Time spent on the forum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hanan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Jeddah</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wafa</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arwa</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Madinah</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safa</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Madinah</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadia</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reem</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nada</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asrar</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faisal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nawaf</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrahim</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>Tabook</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>Taif</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samy</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Jeddah</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Jeddah</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Interviewee details [17]

15 To preserve anonymity, the names given here are fictitious, although other details are actual, except for city names. While city names are real, they are swapped across interviewees.
As can be seen from Table 2, the six age groups were represented almost evenly with regard to gender. Marital status and, to some extent, geographic location could not be varied because the majority of participants were single and lived in the main cities. Also occupation variable could not be varied because the majority of participants in the forum were young and still involved in the educated sector. [18]

7.3 Recruiting participants and the difficulties involved

The first step was to prepare a list of the interviewees’ Hotmail email addresses which the researcher recorded while conducting observation in the observed community. Participants on many occasions advertise their Hotmail addresses in the community for others to see, especially when they introduce themselves to others in the special introductory topics. [19]

The first way the researcher tried to invite selected participants to take part in online interviews was by email. This attempt failed as participants ignored messages sent to them via their email addresses in the community where they participated and email messages sent to them via their Hotmail addresses. The reason could be because they were afraid to open messages sent from an unknown sender for fear of viruses. Or it could be because participants chose not to waste time on someone they did not know. [20]

The researcher therefore devised another technique to approach them: he added selected participants to his list in MSN Messenger, which is a synchronous or real-time-chat-software available for download free from Microsoft to any Hotmail or MSN account holder. (Note that the Hotmail email address of anyone is the same address for the MSN Messenger.) How this worked was that, once interviewees were online, the software alerted the researcher to their online status. They were then approached and invited to take part in the interviews. [21]

7.4 The interview schedule

The schedule consisted mostly of broadly open-ended questions with in-depth, probing sub-questions. The interviews addressed the same issues with mostly the same questions but the order of questions depended on participants’ responses. Also, participants were asked different probing questions depending upon the need to build on previous supplied information or in order to follow particular leads. Interviewees were asked to talk about:

- their experience in general
  - the importance of the forum to them
  - the nature of their relationships with other members
- the effect of their participation on their lives
  - the changes that had occurred in their attitudes
  - the changes that had occurred in their personalities
• the positive aspects of their participation
• the negative aspects of their participation

They were also asked to indicate:

• if they extended their relationships online with participants from the opposite gender to other means such as the MSN Messenger, telephone or face-to-face meetings
• if their personality had changed. [22]

7.5 Conducting the online interviews

Before participants were asked if they agreed to be interviewed, a translated version in Arabic of the informed consent sheet was sent to them as a file through the MSN Messenger. After they were given a couple of minutes to read the informed consent sheet, if they agreed to the contents of the informed consent sheet, the interview began. None of the participants declined to take part in an online interview after reading the informed consent sheet. [23]

The questions to the interviewees were not typed in classical Arabic, but rather in the local Saudi dialect with which both the interviewees and the interviewer were familiar. This was so that the interviewees feel comfortable with the interviewer and the questions of the interview. There are many dialects in the Arabic language as each Arabic country has its own dialect. In the online community, participants can type the spoken Arabic according to their dialect. For example, a sentence like "Do you want to call home?" can be written in Arabic according to the country's dialect as:

• "tubga teheky ma'a alahal?" (Saudi Arabia)
• "a'ayeza titkalim ma'a ahlak?" (Egypt)
• "beduk t'ttfin la ahlak?" (Lebanon)
• "tishti tita'asael ala'a ahlak?" (Yemen) [24]

During the process of the interview, the researcher purposely made it clear to the interviewees that he knew them and knew a bit about their community. This was so that the interviewees feel comfortable to talk to him and be assured that he was a genuine researcher. Also during the process of the interview, the researcher answered questions raised by the interviewees regarding the researcher or the research. The researcher, throughout the interviews, assured interviewees that he was listening to them because they did most of the talking. The researcher achieved that by saying for example "Yes, go ahead, I am listening, ok, I see". [25]

16 Despite the use of local dialects, people in most of the Arabic countries can still understand each other.

17 The researcher did not ask the interviewees about their age or occupation for example, because these details he already obtained from continuously observing the community where they operated.
8. Analysing the Online Interviews

Data were analysed as they were collected. First of all, data were translated in two steps. First, the researcher performed the translation of the passage based on his knowledge of the English language. Second, he double-checked his translations by cutting and pasting the passages into a web English dictionary, which offers translation from Arabic to English. The web English dictionary he used is located at http://www.ajeeb.com/. The translation covered the whole dialogue of the interview, except for a few of the things that were trivial or not useful, resulting from the attempts to encourage participants and to keep the interview going. After completion of the translation, the interview passages were arranged under headings to form sections. This was done to facilitate the analysis. The translated interview passages were then inserted as Rich Text Format into NVIVO for further analysis. [26]

After editing the documents in the NVIVO editor, they were read more than once so that a feel for the data was gained. Next, within each of these documents, keywords were identified after careful study of each word and each line in the document passages. Themes were then developed based on these keywords. To illustrate these last two steps, an example is used. Consider the following passage taken from an online interview with a female participant:

In the beginning, I was naïve. I looked at the members with good intentions. I treated them like my own brothers. Some of them did not appreciate that. Others took it in the wrong way. If I replied to a member through a poem, it meant I was in love with him. But that was in the beginning only. Now, things are different. Everyone is treated appropriately.

Key words: naïve, things are different
Theme: self-reported awareness [27]

For the purpose of ensuring the appropriateness of these themes, each was defined and evaluated. The process of evaluation involved ensuring that certain phrases (or similar to them) are found in a participant's statement for a theme to be assigned to a participant's statement. (Table 3 shows an example of this process.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Definition (from within the context of this study)</th>
<th>Phrases containing key words that must be found in participants’ statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased awareness</td>
<td>When participants indicate that they gained knowledge or realisation of something in lives after they joined online communities.</td>
<td>&quot;I realised that&quot;, &quot;I learned something new&quot;, &quot;I used to think that&quot;, &quot;now I am different&quot;, &quot;I have now changed&quot;, &quot;I did not know before that&quot;, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-mindedness</td>
<td>When participants indicate that they showed flexibility in their views, ideas and beliefs after their online experience.</td>
<td>&quot;everyone has a different point of view&quot;, &quot;I now listen to everyone&quot;, &quot;I used to force my ideas&quot;, &quot;I now listen to both sides of the story&quot;, &quot;I now consider different views&quot;, &quot;I am now open to new ideas&quot;, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-confidence</td>
<td>When participants indicate that they felt more confident in themselves and their abilities after their online experience.</td>
<td>&quot;I became more confident&quot;, &quot;my confidence in myself increased&quot;, &quot;before I was afraid&quot;, &quot;it gave my ego a boost&quot;, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual confusion</td>
<td>When participants indicate that they felt confused about their ideals and beliefs after their online experience.</td>
<td>&quot;Exposed to different beliefs&quot;, &quot;influence on my culture&quot;, &quot;whose writings against my religion&quot;, &quot;spread unacceptable values&quot;, &quot;people with different ideologies&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: An example of the process of evaluating the themes [28]

The next step was assigning nodes in the NVIVO Coder representing the developed themes. Once these nodes were assigned, the node browser in NVIVO was invoked to view all segments of text, from all the interview documents, for the purpose of printing them out. Very importantly, the nodes stored all the data related to a particular theme from all these documents. That is, these nodes became buckets or baskets into which segments of text, from all the documents, related to a particular theme were placed. [29]

Following the printing out of the segments of text pertaining to a particular node, came careful reading and studying of these segments of text off screen to make sure that the themes developed from these passages were appropriate. Then came two important steps: first, all nodes were further structured or organised into groups based on the general concepts of the research they addressed. Second, all nodes were compared and contrasted to ensure that they were assigned appropriately. These methods of data reduction and inductive processing allowed the researcher to develop a theoretical description of online communities while at the same time grounding the description in the data (LINCOLN & GUBA, 1985; STRAUSS & CORBIN, 1998). [30]
Finally, for the purpose of producing a summary of the data, statements that encompassed the developed nodes (themes) were written. These statements were combined to form paragraphs that formulated the results. [31]

9. The Lessons Learned

The following are some of the lessons learned from using online semi-structured interviewing in researching two online communities in Saudi Arabia. [32]

9.1 Benefits for access

One of the important lessons learned is that online interviewing enabled the researcher to access a wider geographical area from which to select participants. The researcher was able to interview participants from different cities within the country, including Madinah, Jeddah and Riyadh, without moving from his chair. This would have been very difficult if interviews were to be conducted face-to-face. Also online interviewing enabled the researcher to interview groups of people, such as females, who are difficult to interview face-to-face, given the strict regulations against communication across gender lines in Saudi society. This made conducting interviews with females online the best technique available. [33]

9.2 Benefits of observing the research site before interviews

Another important lesson learned is the benefit of observing the research site and collecting specific information about the potential interviewees before conducting the interviews. Interviewing people, whom the researcher was already aware of, from observing the site where they operate, gave confirmation about, for example, the true gender and age of the persons interviewed. This occurred because it was possible to ask the interviewees specific questions to verify that those interviewed over the MSN Messenger were the same participants identified in the observed community. Additionally, as mentioned earlier, interviewing participants who had been observed for a while, meant knowing something about them, which helped the researcher in making them feel more comfortable to talk to him and be more likely to help him. Related to this point also is the importance of observing the site in the identification of the most appropriate sample. Given that sampling was purposive, observing the site for a while allowed the researcher to choose cases that were representative of all sub-groups and personal characteristics. [34]

9.3 Lessons about recruiting the participants online

Another important lesson is that inviting participants in the studied online communities to take part in interviews through email did not turn out to be effective. All participants in this study ignored messages sent to them via their email accounts. As mentioned above, the reason could be that participants were worried that someone unknown might send a virus. It is also possible that participants chose not to respond to the emails because they thought of it as
another Spam email. On the other hand, inviting participants to take part in
interviews through the MSN Messenger appeared to be very effective.
Approaching the interviewees through the MSN Messenger, when they were
online, was similar to approaching people in the street and asking them for
directions to a shopping mall. Participants found it hard to reject the idea of being
of assistance to someone when they could. Their culture instructs them to help
others if they can. In addition, the fact that the request that asked participants to
take part in interviews was sent synchronously, that is, in real time, is quite
important. Sending the request synchronously was similar to talking with the
interviewees face-to-face. This could be the main reason participants agreed to
be interviewed. This, however, does not mean that participants agreed to be
interviewed because they felt embarrassed to reject a request the researcher
sent them. Participants could have ignored the request completely as after all it
came from a total stranger, or apologised by saying they were busy or not
interested. They could have also simply and politely said "NO" to the request. It
should also be noted that, before participants were asked if they agreed to be
interviewed, a translated version in Arabic of the informed consent sheet was
sent to them as a file through the MSN Messenger. Interviews began only if they
agreed to interviewed and agreed with the contents of the informed consent
sheet. [35]

9.4 Lessons about anonymity

The anonymity inherent in the communication medium no doubt made online
interviewing effective and successful. First, anonymity allowed for better contact
between the researcher and participants, in contrast to the suggestions of some
researchers that an interviewer-interviewee "relationship" is difficult to establish
online due to a lack of oral cues (FONTANA & FREY, 2000; MANN & STEWART,
2000). One reason for this could be because both the interviewer and
interviewees were experienced users of this technology. Another reason could be
because there are a number of features provided in the online medium, such as
smiley faces, that compensated for the lack of social cues. Second, the CMC
medium did not seem to result in a lack of social presence and its narrow
bandwidth did not make communication over it less deep or less rich, which is
again contrary to what some researchers, such as FONTANA and FREY (2000),
claim to be the case. An example that illustrates this, is the following comment in
which a female participant describes how she felt when she learned about the
death of a male participant:

"I was in one of the family gatherings when my dear sister Igbal called me with a lot of
pain hidden in her voice and asked where I was. I asked what is the matter, she said
Naif died. Neither words nor feelings, not even expressions, rescued me. A tear
choked in my throat. I do not know how I managed to leave that place and return
home. I called Muna and told her [what happened]. At the same time I was crying all
over." [36]

Third, anonymity reduced hierarchical features. Age, race, gender, wealth,
physical appearance and status characteristics, which are very significant is
Saudi Arabia were blurred online or became hard to notice. This may have encouraged participants to disclose more about themselves and their experiences. Given that hierarchy in Saudi society is significant, the observation that anonymity reduces hierarchical features was noticed even between the interviewees and the researcher. This may mean that CMC is an ideal medium for conducting unbiased research as suggested by MANN and STEWART (2000).

Fourth, anonymity encouraged participants to be honest in their responses as they were not worried about their reputation and there was no social pressure on them to lie. Asking individuals in Saudi society sensitive questions usually makes them frown upon those inquiring into their lives. Also, anonymity made participants, especially females, feel less embarrassed or less uncomfortable to discuss some aspects of their online experience. The fact that they are largely unknown to others and their faces are absent online, could really save the participants from feeling uncomfortable or embarrassed in discussing any sensitive issues. [37]

9.5 Lessons related to asking questions online

One of the advantages of conducting interviews online is that the interviewer had time to phrase follow up questions, while the interviewees were composing their answers to earlier questions. The interviewer also used that time to quickly scan the information about the interviewees collected during observation. Knowing about the interviewees was an added advantage because it helped the interviewer "break the ice" with interviewees more easily. This in turn made the interviews smooth and more like conversations. Main questions can be typed, for example, in MSWord beforehand so that they are made ready thus saving time on typing. A checklist of questions was also used and a tick was made on each question when an answer for it was received. This avoided the interviewer forgetting to ask the interview questions. Also, the initial questions were typed in the MSN Messenger text area and sent in a "one-send" operation, so that questions received at the interviewee's MSN Messenger text area were complete. This way the interviewees were more likely to understand the question. [38]

Obtaining information related to a lived experience can be difficult if participants are asked the question only once. People, who are not naturally spontaneous, tend not to give adequate responses when they are suddenly asked a difficult or sensitive question. For this reason interviewees in this study were asked the main question twice. The first time at the beginning of the interview, the second before the end of it. [39]

Asking questions online was in some cases difficult. One of the difficulties arose when the interviewer received a response to an earlier question or comment after he had already sent the interviewee a new question. The time lag between the sending and receiving of messages appeared in some cases to complicate the taking of turns. This further resulted in interrupting the flow of the interviews. Knowing this, the researcher, however, tried to reduce its impact by being patient and understanding. [40]
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10. Concluding Remarks

Online semi-structured interviews have proven to be successful in researching online communities in Saudi Arabia. In addition to their low cost and speed of return and the benefits discussed in the lessons above, it was clear from the findings of the study that they were effective in providing in-depth and rich accounts of individuals' experiences. They enabled the researcher to engage the participants in reflective conversations that generated profound and detailed qualitative data. [41]

The interpretive-qualitative research methodology used in the study proved to be appropriate. The choice of the constructivist paradigm to study online communities in Saudi Arabia enabled the deep exploration of the online communities in their cultural context to be undertaken. The lens of social construct theory was useful for understanding how the members collectively behaved online and how they developed together the meanings about their online experiences. The theory was particularly useful for understanding the ways in which the web-forum technology affected members' behaviour online and offline. [42]

As shown above, the benefits of using online semi-structured interviews are numerous. Most notably is the fact that they allowed the researcher the opportunity to select participants from a wider geographical area and from groups of people who are difficult to interview otherwise. They also provided a rich medium for conducting in-depth interviews, contrary to what some researchers suggested that the CMC medium lacks social presence and its narrow bandwidth may make communication over it superficial. One of the interesting conclusions drawn from this research also is that inviting participants to take part in interviews through the MSN Messenger appeared to be more effective than inviting them through email. [43]
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