It is the paper published as:

Author: A. Chaouachi, N. Poulos, F. Abed, O. Turki, M. Brughelli, K. Chamari, E. J. Drinkwater and D. Behm

Abstract: Whereas muscle potentiation is consistently demonstrated with evoked contractile properties, the potentiation of functional and physiological measures is inconsistent. The objective was to compare a variety of conditioning stimuli volumes and intensities over a 15-minute recovery period. Twelve volleyball players were subjected to conditioning stimuli that included 10 repetitions of half squats with 70% of 1 repetition maximum (RM)(10x70), 5x70, 5x85, 3x85, 3x90, 1x90, and control. Jump height, power, velocity and force were measured at baseline, 1, 3, 5, 10, and 15 minutes. Data were analysed with a 2-way repeated measure ANOVA and magnitude-based inferences. The ANOVA indicated significant decreases in jump height, power and velocity during recovery. This should not be interpreted that no potentiation occurred. Each dependent variable reached a peak at a slightly different time: peak jump height (2.8 min-±2.3), mean power (3.6 min-±3.01), peak power (2.5 min-±1.8), and peak velocity (2.5 min-±1.8). Magnitude-based inference revealed both the 5x70 and 3x85 protocol elicited changes that exceeded 75% likelihood of exceeding the smallest worthwhile change (SWC) for peak power and velocity. The 10x70 and the 5x70 had a substantial likelihood of potentiating peak velocity and mean power above the SWC respectively. Magnitude-based inferences revealed that while no protocol had a substantial likelihood of potentiating the peak vertical jump, the 5x70 had the most consistent substantial likelihood of increasing the peak of most dependent variables. We were unable to consistently predict if these peaks occurred at 1-, 3, or 5 minutes post-stimulation though declines after 5 minutes seems probable.

Volume, intensity and timing of muscle power potentiation are variable.

Chaouachi, Anis.[1], Poulos, Nick.[2], Abed, Fathi.[1], Turki, Olfa[1], Brughelli, Matt[3]; Drinkwater, Eric J.[4] Behm, David G.[5]

Affiliations:

1. Tunisian Research Laboratory "Sports Performance Optimisation" National Center of Medicine and Science in Sports (CNMSS), Tunis, Tunisia

2. Sport Science Department, ASPIRE, Academy for Sports Excellence, Doha, Qatar

3. Department of Biomedical Kinesiology, Faculty of Kinesiology and Rehabilitation Sciences, K.U. Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

4. School of Human Movement Studies, Charles Sturt University, Panorama Avenue, Bathurst, NSW AUSTRALIA 2795

5. School of Human Kinetics and Recreation; Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's Newfoundland, Canada, A1C 5S7

Running Title: Variability of Muscle Potentiation

2

Abstract

Whereas muscle potentiation is consistently demonstrated with evoked contractile properties, the potentiation of functional and physiological measures is inconsistent. The objective was to compare a variety of conditioning stimuli volumes and intensities over a 15-minute recovery period. Twelve volleyball players were subjected to conditioning stimuli that included 10 repetitions of half squats with 70% of 1 repetition maximum (RM)(10x70), 5x70, 5x85, 3x85, 3x90, 1x90, and control. Jump height, power, velocity and force were measured at baseline, 1, 3, 5, 10, and 15 minutes. Data were analysed with a 2-way repeated measure ANOVA and magnitude-based inferences. The ANOVA indicated significant decreases in jump height, power and velocity during recovery. This should not be interpreted that no potentiation occurred. Each dependent variable reached a peak at a slightly different time: peak jump height (2.8 min±2.3), mean power (3.6 min±3.01), peak power (2.5 min±1.8), and peak velocity (2.5 min±1.8). Magnitude-based inference revealed both the 5x70 and 3x85 protocol elicited changes that exceeded 75% likelihood of exceeding the smallest worthwhile change (SWC) for peak power and velocity. The 10x70 and the 5x70 had a substantial likelihood of potentiating peak velocity and mean power above the SWC respectively. Magnitude-based inferences revealed that while no protocol had a substantial likelihood of potentiating the peak vertical jump, the 5x70 had the most consistent substantial likelihood of increasing the peak of most dependent variables. We were unable to consistently predict if these peaks occurred at 1-, 3, or 5 minutes post-stimulation though declines after 5 minutes seems probable.

Key Words: post-activation potentiation, magnitude-based inferences, force, velocity, recovery

Introduction

Post activation potentiation (PAP) can be defined as an enhancement or increase in force (Baudry and Duchateau 2004; Grange and Houston 1991; Houston and Grange 1990) and rate of force development (Baudry and Duchateau 2004; Sale 2002; Vandenboom et al. 1995) following submaximal or maximal contractions. The potentiation of evoked contractions is consistently reported in the literature (Baudry and Duchateau 2004; Grange et al. 1993; Grange and Houston 1991; Houston and Grange 1990; Stuart et al. 1988; Vandenboom et al. 1995). However, the role of potentiation in enhancing functional performance is not as consistent in the literature (DeRenne 2010; Robbins 2005; Tillin and Bishop 2009).

Table 1 illustrates the diversity of conditioning stimuli used to induce potentiation, post-intervention testing times and dependent variables in 22 articles that reported improved performance. There is a similar degree of diversity in Table 2 which highlights 14 articles that reported no change or decreases in subsequent performance. Upon reflecting on both tables there does not seem to be an obvious pattern of potentiating-inducing interventions, recovery testing times or measures that would determine the ability to enhance functional athletic performance. Tillin and Bishop (2009) in a review indicated that the PAP-fatigue relationship can be affected by the conditioning or potentiating-inducing stimulus' volume and intensity, recovery period, type of conditioning and subsequent contractions or activity and subject characteristics. Tables 1 and 2 illustrate the difficulty in assessing the appropriate volume and intensity of the potentiating stimulus as well as the recovery time. Many articles investigate only one (Baker 2003; Batista et al. 2007; Baudry and Duchateau 2007; Bevan et al. 2010; Chatzopoulos et al. 2007; Chiu et al. 2003; Duthie et al. 2002; Ebben et al. 2000; Etnyre and Kinugasa 2002; Hrysomallis and Kidgell

4

2001; Jensen and Ebben 2003; Linder et al. 2010; Matthews et al. 2010; Mitchell and Sale 2011; Miyamoto et al. 2011; Robbins and Docherty 2005; Smith and Fry 2007; Young et al. 1998) or two interventions (Boullosa and Tuimil 2009; Burkett et al. 2005; El Hage et al. 2010; Esformes et al. 2010; Hanson et al. 2007; Kilduff et al. 2007; Koch et al. 2003; Markovic et al. 2008; McBride et al. 2005; McCann and Flanagan 2010; Rixon et al. 2007) with a variety of dependent variables and recovery times, making it difficult to evaluate an optimal potentiating stimulus. Brandenburg (2005) and Chattong et al. (2010) examined a wider range of potentiating stimuli (three and four respectively) but only examined recovery at four and two minutes respectively. There are very few studies (two studies in Table 1) that investigate multiple potentiating contractions with repeated testing over a prolonged period (i.e. 10 minutes post contraction)(Iglesias-Soler et al. 2011; Smilios et al. 2005). Since it is difficult to compare between disparate studies that utilize different volumes and intensities, with varying contraction types, populations, dependent variables and recovery testing times, it is necessary to compare a variety of potentiating stimuli which can provide matching volumes with dissimilar intensities as well as matching intensities with dissimilar volumes. In this manner, it may be possible to differentiate whether a particular volume or intensity or range of volumes and intensities of contractions provide functional benefits over a specified period.

Whereas PAP has a predominant biochemical mechanism involving myosin regulatory light chain phosphorylation (Grange et al. 1993; Houston et al. 1985; Houston and Grange 1990; Vandenboom et al. 1995; Vandenboom and Houston 1996), the potentiation of functional athletic performance can also involve the interplay of fatigue mechanisms (Alway et al. 1987; Behm 2004; Grange and Houston 1991), neural potentiation (Anderson and Behm 2004; Sale 2002), muscle stiffness (Sinkjaer et al. 1992), and muscle architecture (Mahlfeld et al. 2004). PAP has

5

been reported to have lower phosphorylation levels and perhaps a minimum threshold around 10 minutes (Houston and Grange 1990). Muscle stiffness due to residual cross-bridge attachments (Shorten 1987) can contribute to the facilitation or potentiation of contractions and has been shown to persist for 90 minutes following five repetitions of 8-s contract-relax actions (Toft et al. 1989). Following three MVCs, Mahlfeld et al. (2004) reported a significant change in the pennation angle for up to 6 minutes as compared to the pre-contraction and immediately post-contraction measures (0-2 min). This modest modification of the pennation angle ($<2^0$) would allow muscle forces to be transmitted more directly to the tendon. Gullich and Schmidtbleicher (1996) indicated that the short term increase in explosive force following MVCs could be partially attributed to improved neuromuscular activation as evidenced by increased Hoffman (H) reflexes (indicative of increased afferent excitability of the motoneuron: (Enoka et al. 1980)). The potentiation of H-reflexes was significant between 4-11 min following the contractions albeit with considerable inter-individual variation. Thenar muscle contractions of 5-30s provoked increased motor evoked potentials for a period of 60s after the submaximal contractions indicating enhanced supraspinal excitability (Balbi et al. 2002). Hence, with the interplay and variability of these contributing factors to muscle potentiation, it would be important to test functional measures repeatedly over a prolonged recovery period.

Thus it was the objective of this study to examine the effect of a variety of volumes and intensities of dynamic contractions on subsequent functional muscle performance repeatedly over an extended recovery period of 15 minutes.

6

METHODS

Subjects

Twelve elite male volleyball players (age 22 ± 2 years, height 192 ± 8.3 cm, mass 84.1 ± 6.6 kg) volunteered to participate in this study during the early phase of the post-competitive volleyball season. All players were starters in their senior teams participating in the Tunisian national volleyball championship, and had played volleyball continuously for more than 6 years. Before commencing the study, players had a physical examination by a physician in the National Centre of Medicine and Science in Sports of Tunis (CNMSS) and each was cleared of any medical disorders that might limit full participation in the investigation. None of the subjects were involved in a structured resistance or other training program during the time of testing. No players were taking exogenous anabolic-androgenic steroids or other drugs or substances expected to affect physical performance or hormonal balance during this study. All the subjects were informed of the methods and risks of the study and gave their informed consent and volunteered to participate in the study, which had the approval of the Ethic Committee of the National Centre of Medicine and Science in Sports, Tunis, Tunisia.

Procedures

All tests and measurements were conducted in the laboratory of the National Center of Medicine and Science in Sports, Tunis, Tunisia. One week prior to the commencement of the study, all subjects participated in an orientation session to become familiar with the testing procedure. They were coached on proper back half squat lifting technique using the bar and were familiarized with the force platform for the vertical jump test. Following this familiarization session, subjects were required to attend the laboratory on 8 separate occasions. The objective of

the first session was to determine the subjects 3 repetition maximum (3RM) on the back half squat, with 3RM defined as a load that caused failure on the third repetition without loss of proper technique. Based on this testing day, the value of 1-RM was estimated for each subject. According to the percentage 1RM-repetition relationship outlined by Baechle et al. (2000), the load for 3 repetitions is 93% of 1RM. Consequently, the 3RMvalue was divided by 0.93 to attain a 1RM estimate. The resistance for the different conditioning stimuli of the PAP protocols was then calculated from each subject's 1RM load.

After the first visit, subjects returned to the laboratory on 7 separate occasions for the experimental sessions. Sessions 2-8 were performed over a 3-week period, and all involved the same procedure. Each session began with the subjects performing a standardized dynamic warm-up (Moir et al. 2009) comprising light intensity jogging and dynamic exercises: 10 body weight squats, lunge walks for 15 m, butt kicks for 15 m, and five countermovement jumps (CMJ). These exercises were performed twice, and no static stretches were performed during the warm-up. A 3-minute rest interval was provided after the end of the warm-up. After this, the subjects performed three maximal CMJ, separated by a 2-minute rest, and the best of the 3 jumps was used as baseline data. The subjects then performed 1 of the PAP protocols. The conditioning protocols were 10 repetitions of half squats with 70% of 1 repetition maximum (10x70), 5 x 70%1RM (5x70), 5 x 85%1RM (5x85), 3 x 85%1RM (3x85), 3 x 90%1RM (3x90), 1 x 90%1RM (1x90), and the control protocol. Each conditioning stimuli was applied in a counterbalanced, randomized order on separate days. Experienced spotters were present at all times to ensure safety of subjects and appropriate exercise technique execution. Finally, during the recovery interval following the preload stimulus, the participant was required to perform another five blocks of one to two explosive CMJ at the following time points 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, and

15 min after the potentiating protocol. Testing took place on the same time of day for each subject, and with a minimum of 72 hours intervening between testing sessions. Subjects refrained from any strenuous activities, resistance, or plyometric training at least 48 hours before each testing session.

3-RM Procedures

Isoinertial Strength Assessment. The subjects' 3RM was tested using the procedure outlined by the National Strength and Conditioning Association (Baker and Nance 1999; Cronin and Hansen 2005). Before the start of the strength-testing session, all subjects underwent a standardized general warm-up that comprised of light intensity jogging for 5 minutes, followed by a series of dynamic movements with an emphasis on warming up the musculature associated with the squat. Subjects then performed a weights-specific warm-up involving 8 repetitions at 50% 1RM, 4 repetitions at 70% 1-RM, and finally 2 repetitions at 80% of 1-RM, with 3-minute intervals between them. Each subject used their estimated 1RM as a guide. After the final warm-up set, subjects attempted 3 repetitions of a set load (3-RM), and if successful, the lifting weight was increased until the subject could not lift the weight through the full range of motion. A 5-minute rest was imposed between all attempts to allow subjects adequate time to replenish energy stores. The 3 RM was determined after 3-4 attempts in all subjects. The half-squat movement was carried out according to the International Powerlifting Federation rules (2002). Each subject was required to descend to the ''parallel'' position where the greater trochanter of the femur was aligned with the knee (a line between the lateral epicondyle of the femur and the greater trochanter was approximately parallel to the floor) and ascended until full knee and hip extension. Squat depth was visually assessed by a certified strength and conditioning specialist

(second investigator). The investigator was located lateral to the subject and gave a verbal signal ''up'' once athlete had reached the appropriate depth. Rest periods between trials were 5 minutes in length. A complete range of motion and proper technique was required for each successful 1RM trial. All subjects had experience with strength training and the half back squat exercise for at least 2 years before the study.

Jump Testing

Vertical jump performance was assessed on Quattro Jump portable force plate (Kisler Instrument AG, Winterthur, Switzerland) at a sampling rate of 500 Hz. Players performed a CMJ according to the protocol described by Bosco et al. (1983). Subjects were asked to keep their hands on their hips throughout the entire jump to minimize lateral and horizontal displacement during performance, to prevent any influence of arm movements on the vertical jumps, and to avoid coordination as a confounding variable in the assessment of the leg extensors neuromuscular performance (Chaouachi et al. 2009). Players were asked to jump as high as possible and the greatest jump height and associated maximal velocity before take-off, maximal force before the take-off, and peak power was used for analysis. Jump height was determined as the center of mass displacement, calculated from the recorded force and body mass. Reliability of the CMJ test has been shown in previous studies from our laboratory to be very high (Chaouachi et al. 2009; Chaouachi et al. 2010).

Statistical Analysis

A repeated-measures ANOVA was used to compare a pre-intervention (baseline) jump to jumps performed at 1-, 3-, 5-, 10-, and 15-minutes after the intervention for each dependent variable. There were therefore seven conditions compared across six time points for each

dependent variable. P-values in the main effect for time as well as for condition*time interactions were considered statistically significant at <0.05. Repeated measures ANOVA's were calculated using Predictive Analytics SoftWare (version 17.0.2). This analysis was included to illustrate that the method of null hypothesis significance testing may not necessarily be appropriate for this type of experiment (Drinkwater et al. 2008).

Further analysis involved isolating the peak of each dependent variable and comparing it with the baseline, regardless of at which time point the peak occurred. Within subject contrasts were conducted between the baseline and peak for each dependent variable. From the resulting p-value, mean difference between comparisons, and total standard deviation of the baseline and peak data, a Cohen's d, smallest worthwhile change (SWC), and likelihood of clinical meaningfulness were calculated (Liow and Hopkins 2003). The Cohen's d is calculated from the mean change divided by the standard deviation of the data; thresholds for qualitative descriptors of Cohen's d were set at <0.20 is "trivial", 0.20 to 0.49 is "small", 0.50 to 0.79 is "moderate", and >0.80 is "large" (Cohen, 1988, p.25). The smallest change to be considered worthwhile (SWC) was thus calculated from 0.20 of the standard deviation of the data. The threshold of a clinical meaningful effect was set at 75% (Liow and Hopkins 2003).

Differences in time to peak for each dependent variable were assessed by dependent t-tests and expressed as a mean with Cohen's d. Descriptive statistics, p-values, 95% confidence limits and Cohen's d for the within-subject contrasts were calculated by custom written Excel spreadsheets (Microsoft Office, 2007). Magnitude-based inferences were then calculated using a second custom written Excel spreadsheet (Hopkins 2007).

Pearson Product Moment correlations were used to assess the relationship between 1RM and time to peak as well as the relationship between 1RM and amount of change between the baseline and peak. Thresholds for interpreting correlations were 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 for "small", "moderate" and "large respectively (Cohen 1988).

Results

Analysis with Repeated measures ANOVA

Jump height

There was a significant main effect for time (p<0.01). Across all time points, the time points significantly different to baseline (52.9 cm ±4.6) were the decreases at 10-minutes (51.5 cm ±4.85, p<0.01) and 15-minutes (50.9 cm±4.8, p<0.01). There were no significant condition *time interactions (p=0.87).

Peak power

There was a significant main effect for time (p<0.01). With time, peak power showed a steady, significant decline from baseline (58.0 ±7.01 W.kg^{-1}) to five minutes (56.7 ±6.96 W.kg^{-1}, p<0.01), ten minutes (55.7 ±6.6 W.kg^{-1}, p<0.01) and fifteen minutes (54.8 ±6.5 W.kg^{-1}, p<0.01). There were no significant condition *time interactions (p=0.228).

Force

There was no significant change in force across all time points (p=0.317). There were no significant condition *time interactions (p=0.347).

Velocity

There was a significant main effect for time ($p<0.01$). There was a significant decrement in velocity between baseline (2.95 ±0.14 m.s^{-1}) and ten minutes (2.91±0.14 m.s^{-1}, $p<0.01$) and fifteen minutes (2.88 ±0.15 m.s^{-1}, $p<0.01$). There were no significant condition *time interactions ($p=0.429$).

<u>Mean power</u>

There was a significant main effect for time ($p<0.01$). There was a significant decrease between baseline (31.74 ±4.68 W.kg^{-1}) and five minutes (31.11 ±4.29 W.kg^{-1}), ten minutes (30.58 ±4.61 W.kg^{-1}) and fifteen minutes (30.22 ±4.38 W.kg^{-1}) (all $p<0.01$). There were no significant condition *time interactions ($p=0.637$).

<u>Analysis of peaks data</u>

<u>Time to peak</u>

Differences in time to peak jump height (2.83 min ±2.32), mean power (3.62±3.01), peak power (2.57 min ±1.88), and peak velocity (2.57 min ±1.81) were all trivial or small (i.e. $d<0.50$). This is to say that all of these dependent variables peaked at a similar time of 1-, 3- or 5-minutes. All comparisons with peak force (6.57 min±5.33) were large ($d>0.80$), which is to say the peak force reached its peak substantially later than the other dependent variables.

<u>Pearson Product Moment</u>

There were no substantial correlations between 1RM and time to peak ($r=-0.02-0.12$) of any dependent variable assessed or the relationship between 1RM and amount of change ($r=-0.07-0.12$) between the baseline and peak jump.

The peak of jump height was unaffected by any of the stimulus protocols with no protocol eliciting a 75% likelihood of exceeding the SWC from the baseline, even when all protocols were combine (Table 3).

For peak power, both the 5x70 and 3x85 protocol elicited changes that exceed 75% likelihood of exceeding the SWC (89% and 80% respectively, Table 4). The 5x70 protocol also had an 80% likelihood of exceeding the SWC in mean power (Table 5). Neither peak nor mean power were substantially increased when all independent variables were pooled (>75% likelihood of the observed difference being trivial).

The peak force was substantially increased above the SWC in the 10x70 protocol (91% likely), 3x85 (92% likely) and 3x90 (89% likely) protocols as was the control condition (99% likely). There was also a pooled influence of all protocols (95% likely, Table 6).

The 10x70, 5x70 and 3x85 protocols substantially increase peak velocity above the SWD (0.034 m/s). The improvements were 75%, 77% and 87% likely, respectively (Table 7)

PLACE TABLES 3-7 APPROXIMATELY HERE.

Discussion

The most important finding of the present study was the inter-individual variations in the potentiation of mean and peak power and peak velocity. Most dependent variables peaked at one-, three-, or five-minutes though this peak was often not greater than the smallest worthwhile change. Overall, the 5x70 and 3x85 protocols presented the most consistent substantial

likelihood (i.e. >75%) of increasing the peak of most dependent variables (i.e. peak power and velocity). However, there was sufficient inconsistency in the timing of the peaks that "statistical significance" was lost in the repeated measures ANOVA aside from the decrement between baseline and the 10-minute and 15-minute time points. Table 8 provides a sample of the varying time points when force potentiation was achieved for each individual. The use of only a traditional ANOVA with an alpha of 0.05 led to the conclusion that the intervention protocols provided no evidence of potentiation and in fact led only to fatigue effects. The ANOVA indicated that jump height and velocity experienced decrements at 10 and 15 minutes of recovery while mean and peak power were impaired at 5, 10 and 15 minutes of recovery. However, the statistical limitation was that the variability in the timing of the potentiation could not be detected by an ANOVA.

Alternatively, the data of the current study were also analysed in a way that reflects the likelihood of the observed effect exceeding the smallest worthwhile change (i.e. 20% of the between-subject standard deviation). Analysing results in this way firstly reflects that a result that is "statistically significant" may still have a low likelihood of playing a meaningful role. For example, while the pooled effect of having a stimulus activity before vertical jumping significantly increases vertical jump ($p<0.01$), the observed mean increase is so small (0.7 cm) that it seems unlikely (<10%) to have impacted to any meaningful degree in performance. Conversely, an effect may have a high likelihood of having a meaningful effect on performance though not reach statistical significance. For example, the 1.89 W/kg peak power increase elicited by the 3x85 protocol did not reach statistical significance ($p=0.054$) though still has an almost 80% likelihood of having a non-trivial increase in performance.

15

A number of studies have commented on the extent of individual variability in muscle potentiation studies (Bevan et al. 2010; Smith and Fry 2007; Till and Cooke 2009). Other studies have encountered similar results and used analyses other than ANOVAs and t-tests to analyse the data. McCann and Flanagan (2010) used ANOVAs, Chi squares and radar plots to analyse and illustrate the individual variability in the potentiation of vertical jumps in their study. The use of an ANOVA by Till and Cooke (2009) resulted in no significant group effects for any PAP treatment on sprint and vertical jump measures. Consequently, they still illustrated and discussed the substantial improvements made by the majority of subjects. The few subjects in that study who showed negative responses to all conditions and the others who showed decreases with select conditions nullified the possibility of finding statistical significance with an ANOVA. At the level of the muscle, Smith and Fry (2007) reported that following a 10s MVC, seven subjects had elevated regulatory light chain phosphorylation while four subjects were non-responders. Thus, whereas an ANOVA would indicate no significant potentiation, the positive responders in the aforementioned studies definitely did increase performance due to the potentiating stimulus.

It is commonly reported that strength trained individuals respond more positively to PAP than untrained individuals (DeRenne 2010; Sale 2002; Tillin and Bishop 2009). Table 1 illustrates that 16 of the 23 studies demonstrating potentiation used trained individuals. However, there is no guarantee that trained individuals will always experience potentiation. Table 2 list studies where potentiation did not occur. Ten studies with trained subjects did not report significant potentiation in addition to the five studies that included recreationally active subjects. Hence, the variability of the elite trained volleyball players in the present study is representative of many potentiation studies.

There are a number of factors that can contribute to the variability within studies and individuals. In addition to the trained state of the individuals influencing the extent of potentiation, the fibre composition of the muscle also plays a role. A greater PAP response is reported to be elicited with greater fast twitch fiber compositions (Tillin and Bishop 2009). Fatigue resistance would also play a role as potentiation mechanisms attempt to balance fatigue responses (Behm 2004; Tillin and Bishop 2009). Whether a subsequent activity presents with fatigue or potentiation will depend on whether the potentiation or the fatigue responses predominated. An individual with greater fatigue resistance (i.e. greater buffering, higher phosphocreatine stores, more oxidative enzymes and mitochondria) would allow the potentiating mechanisms to dominate. Alternatively, an individual with less fatigue resistance may still exhibit potentiation but at a delayed onset once the fatigue mechanisms have dissipated. Although the subjects in the present study were elite volleyball players, differences in anaerobic fatigue resistance and fiber composition could have contributed to the variety of recovery potentiation times.

Furthermore, potentiation of functional activities involves more than a single potentiating mechanism. An augmentation of subsequent activity can be influenced by increased myosin regulatory light chain phosphorylation, afferent excitability of the motoneuron (increased H-reflex), cortical excitability (increased motor evoked potentials), muscle stiffness (decreased compliance) and changes in muscle architecture (decreased pennation angle). Each of these responses have been reported to peak and diminish at different times following the potentiating contraction. While cortical excitability can begin to diminish within 1 min (Balbi et al. 2002), afferent excitability of motoneurons may persist for 4-11 min (Gullich and Schmidtbleicher 1996), changes in muscle architecture for 6 min (Mahlfeld et al. 2004), myosin regulatory light

chain phosphorylation for 10 min (Houston and Grange 1990) and muscle stiffness up to 90 min (Toft et al. 1989). Thus the variability in potentiation recovery times can be dependent upon which mechanism(s) is more predominant at a particular time for each individual.

Withstanding the extensive individual variability, in general, the 5x70 and 3x85 protocols had the most consistent substantial likelihood (i.e. >75%) of increasing the peak of most dependent variables, in particular peak power and peak velocity. The 5x70 protocol also seems likely to have a substantial effect on mean power, and is the only protocol to do so. DeRenne (2010) recommends a heavy preload warm-up protocol consisting of 3-10 sets of 1 repetition at 90% of 1 RM for elite men and 1 set of 4 repetitions with a 4RM load for elite women. Table 1 however exhibits a wider range of potentiating possibilities with interventions typically ranging from a minimum of 5RM or 60% of 1 RM up to 1 RM or 100% MVCs. The present study's findings of most consistent results with 5x70 and 3x85 protocols concurs with most studies that successfully potentiated the subsequent activity using moderately high to high intensity resistance with moderate to low volumes.

There was no protocol in the present study that had a substantial likelihood of potentiating the peak vertical jump above the smallest worthwhile change. Table 2 similarly illustrates seven studies that did not report any potentiating effects on jump performance. Other than McBride et al. (2005) who reported no improvement of loaded countermovement jumps and Kilduff et al. (2007) who reported a decrease in countermovement jumps at 15s recovery, both studies using three repetitions of heavy squats; eleven other studies in Table 1 report potentiation of jump performance. Hence, jump potentiation is quite variable between studies as well as between individuals. The question arises though as to why power and velocity had a substantial likelihood

of potentiating in the present study but vertical jump did not. A countermovement vertical jump involves not only power and velocity but the coordination and sequencing of the power and velocity of each limb segment. It may be possible to increase the primary components of power and velocity but if segmental coordination is altered there may not be an appropriate summation of forces leading to no improvement of vertical jump height.

Kean et al. (2006) demonstrated that a balance training program can improve vertical jump height. They hypothesized that a decrease in body sway with improved balanced would contribute to a more vertical takeoff allowing the power and forces to be directed in an optimal direction. Fatigue can have an adverse effect on balance (Bizid et al. 2009; Surenkok et al. 2006). According to the ANOVA analysis, overall, the intervention protocols utilized in the present study led to fatigue-induced deficits. While the general fatigue effect was not consistent as evidenced by the variable potentiation of power and velocity, it could have had an effect on balance and coordination resulting in no benefit for the vertical jump height.

Conclusion

The timing of potentiation for power and velocity in the present study were variable among individuals which is compatible with the comments found in a number of other potentiation studies (Bevan et al. 2010; Rixon et al. 2007; Smith and Fry 2007; Till and Cooke 2009). With such variability, a traditional ANOVA analysis proved ineffective in highlighting the likelihood of such potentiation occurring. However there was evidence that the 5x70 and 3x85 protocols had the most consistent substantial likelihood (i.e. >75%) of increasing the peak of most dependent variables. The 3x85 protocol succeeded in potentiating peak power, force, and velocity, while the 5x70 protocol had a substantial likelihood of potentiating peak and mean

power and peak velocity. These dependent variables were most likely to peak at a similar time of 1-, 3- or 5-minutes. While keeping the aforementioned likelihoods in mind, it is recommended that potentiation schemes should be personalized to the individual. An approach using a single potentiating workload and recovery will not provide the optimal benefits for each individual due to individual differences in physiological characteristics and trained state.

References

Alway, S. E., Hughson, R. L., Green, H. J., Patla, A. E., and Frank, J. S. 1987. Twitch
        potentiation after fatiguing exercise in man. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol **56** (4): 461-466.

Anderson, K. and Behm, D. 2004. Maintenance of EMG Activity and Loss of Force Output With
        Instability. J Strength Cond Res **18** (3): 637-640.

Baechle, T. R. 2000. Essentials of Strength and Conditioning Second Edition, Human Kinetics
        Publishers, Windsor Ontario, 393-427.

Baker, D. 2003. Acute effect of alternating heavy and light resistances on power output during
        upper-body complex power training. J. Strength Cond. Res. **17** (3): 493-497.

Baker, D. and Nance, S. 1999. The relation between running speed and measures of stregnth and
        power in professional rugby league players. J Strength Cond Res **13:** 230-235.

Balbi, P., Perretti, A., Sannino, M., Marcantonio, L., and Santoro, L. 2002. Postexercise
        facilitation of motor evokoed potentials following transcranial magnetic stimulation: a
        study in normal subjects. Muscle Nerve **25:** 448-452.

Batista, M. A., Ugrinowitsch, C., Roschel, H., Lotufo, R., Ricard, M. D., and Tricoli, V. A. 2007.
        Intermittent exercise as a conditioning activity to induce postactivation potentiation. J.
        Strength. Cond. Res. **21** (3): 837-840.

Baudry, S. and Duchateau, J. 2004. Postactivation potentiation in human muscle is not related to
        the type of maximal conditioning contraction. Muscle Nerve **30** (3): 328-336.

Baudry, S. and Duchateau, J. 2007. Postactivation potentiation in a human muscle: effect on the
        rate of torque development of tetanic and voluntary isometric contractions. J. Appl.
        Physiol **102** (4): 1394-1401.

Behm, D. G. 2004. Force maintenance with submaximal fatiguing contractions. Can J Appl
        Physiol **29** (3): 274-290.

Bevan, H. R., Cunningham, D. J., Tooley, E. P., Owen, N. J., Cook, C. J., and Kilduff, L. P.
        2010. Influence of postactivation potentiation on sprinting performance in professional
        rugby players. J. Strength Cond. Res. **24** (3): 701-705.

Bizid, R., Margnes, E., Francois, Y., Jully, J. L., Gonzalez, G., Dupui, P., and Paillard, T. 2009.
        Effects of knee and ankle muscle fatigue on postural control in the unipedal stance. Eur.
        J. Appl. Physiol **106** (3): 375-380.

Bosco, C., Luhtanen, P., and Komi, P. V. 1983. A simple method for measurement of
        mechanical power in jumping. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol **50** (2): 273-282.

Boullosa, D. A. and Tuimil, J. L. 2009. Postactivation potentiation in distance runners after two different field running protocols. J. Strength Cond. Res. **23** (5): 1560-1565.

Brandenburg, J. P. 2005. The acute effects of prior dynamic resistance exercise using different loads on subsequent upper-body explosive performance in resistance-trained men. J. Strength. Cond. Res. **19** (2): 427-432.

Burkett, L. N., Phillips, W. T., and Ziuraitis, J. 2005. The best warm-up for the vertical jump in college-age athletic men. J Strength Condition Res **19** (3): 673-676.

Chaouachi, A., Brughelli, M., Chamari, K., Levin, G. T., Ben, Abdelkrim N., Laurencelle, L., and CAstagna, C. 2009. Lower limb maximal dynamic strength and agility determinants in elite basketball players. J. Strength Cond. Res. **23** (5): 1570-1577.

Chaouachi, A., Castagna, C., Chtara, M., Brughelli, M., Turki, O., Galy, O., Chamari, K., and Behm, D. G. 22-10-2010. Effect of warm-ups involving static or dynamic stretching on agility, sprinting, and jumping performance in trained individuals. J. Strength Cond. Res. **24** (8): 2001-2011.

Chattong, C., Brown, L. E., Coburn, J. W., and Noffal, G. J. 2010. Effect of a dynamic loaded warm-up on vertical jump performance. J. Strength Cond. Res. **24** (7): 1751-1754.

Chatzopoulos, D. E., Michailidis, C. J., Giannakos, A. K., Alexiou, K. C., Patikas, D. A., Antonopoulos, C. B., and Kotzamanidis, C. M. 2007. Postactivation potentiation effect after heavy resistance exercise on running speed. J Strength Cond Res **21** (4): 1278-1281.

Chiu, L. Z., Fry, A. C., Weiss, L. W., Schilling, B. K., Brown, L. E., and Smith, S. L. 2003. Postactivation potentiation response in athletic and recreationally trained individuals J. Strength Cond. Res. **17** (4): 671-677.

Cohen, J. 1988. Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences. L. Erbraum Associates, Hillside New Jersey. 23-97.

Cronin, J. B. and Hansen, K. T. 2005. Strength and power predictors of sports speed. J. Strength. Cond. Res. **19** (2): 349-357.

DeRenne, C. 2010. Effects of postactivation potentiation warm-up in male and female sport performances: A brief review. Strength Cond J **32** (6): 58-64.

Drinkwater, E. J., Pyne, D. B., and McKenna, M. J. 2008. Design and interpretation of anthropometric and fitness testing of basketball players. Sports Med. **38** (7): 565-578.

Duthie, G. M., Young, W. B., and Aitken, D. A. 2002. The acute effects of heavy loads on jump squat performnce: an evaluation of the complex and contrast methods of power development. J Strength Cond Res **16** (4): 530-538.

Ebben, W. P., Jensen, R. L., and Blackard, D. O. 2000. Electromyographic and kinetic analysis of complex training variables. J Strength Cond Res **14:** 451-456.

El Hage, R., Zakhem, E., Moussa, E., and Jacob, C. 2010. Acute effects of heavy load squats on consecutive vertical jump preformance. J Sci Sports DOI: 10.1016/j.scisport.2010.08.006

Enoka, R. M., Hutton, R. S., and Eldred, E. 1980. Changes in excitability of tendon tap and Hoffmann reflexes following voluntary contractions. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. **48** (6): 664-672.

Esformes, J. I., Cameron, N., and Bampouras, T. M. 2010. Postactivation potentiation following different modes of exercise. J. Strength Cond. Res. **24** (7): 1911-1916.

Etnyre, B. and Kinugasa, T. 2002. Postcontraction influences on reaction time. Res. Q. Exerc. Sport **73** (3): 271-281.

Grange, R. W. and Houston, M. E. 1991. Simultaneous potentiation and fatigue in quadriceps after a 60-second maximal voluntary isometric contraction. J. Appl Physiol. **70** (2): 726-731.

Grange, R. W., Vandenboom, R, and Houston, M. E. 1993. Physiological significance of myosin phosphorylation in skeletal muscle. Can J Appl Physiol **18** (3): 229-242.

Gullich, A. and Schmidtbleicher, D. 1996. MVC-induced short-term potentiation of explosive force. New studies in athletics. **11** (4): 67-81.

Hanson, E. D., Leigh, S., and Mynark, R. G. 2007. Acute effects of heay-and light-load squat exercise on the kinetic measures of vertical jumping. J Strength Cond Res **21** (4): 1012-1017.

Houston, M. E. and Grange, R. W. 1990. Myosin phosphorylation, twitch potentiation, and fatigue in human skeletal muscle. Can J Physiol Pharm **68:** 908-913.

Houston, M. E., Green, H. J., and Stull, J. T. 1985. Myosin light chain phosphorylation and isometric twitch potentiation in intact human muscle. Pflügers Arch- Eur J Physiol **403:** 348-352.

Hrysomallis, C. and Kidgell, D. 2001. Effect of heavy dynamic resistive exercise on acute upper-body power. J Strength Condition Res **15** (4): 426-430.

Iglesias-Soler, E., Paredes, X., Carballeira, E., Marquez, G., and Fernandez-del-Olmo, M. 2011. Effect of intensity and duration of conditioning protocol on post-activation potentiation and changes in H-reflex. Eur J Sport Sci **11** (1): 33-38.

International Powerlifting Federation 2002. Technical Rules Book of International Powerlifting Federation. http://www.powerlifting- ipf.com/IPF_rulebook.pdf.

Jensen, R. L. and Ebben, W. P. 2003. Kinetic analysis of complex training rest interval effect on vertical jump performance. J Strength Cond Res **17** (2): 345-349.

Kean, C. O., Behm, D. G., and Young, W. B. 2006. Fixed foot balance training increases rectus femoris activation during landing and jump height in recreationally active women. J Sports Sci Med **5:** 138-148.

Kilduff, L. P., Bevan, H. R., Kingsley, M. I. C., Owen, N. J., Bennett, M. A., Bunce, P. J., Hore, A. M., Maw, J. R., and Cuningham, D. J. 2007. Postactivation potentiation in professional rugby players: optimal recovery. J Strength Cond Res **21** (4): 1134-1138.

Koch, A. J., O'Bryant, H. S., Stone, M. E., Sanborn, K., Proulx, C., Hruby, J., Shannonhouse, E., Boros, R., and Stone, M. H. 2003. Effect of warm-up on the standing broad jump in trained and untrained men and women. J. Strength Cond. Res. **17** (4): 710-714.

Linder, E. E., Prins, J. H., Murata, N. M., DeRenne, C., Morgan, C. F., and Solomon, J. R. 2010. Effects of preload 4 repetition maximum on 100-m sprint times in collegiate women. J. Strength Cond. Res. **24** (5): 1184-1190.

Liow, D. K. and Hopkins, W. G. 2003. Velocity specificity of weight training for kayak sprint performance. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. **35** (7): 1232-1237.

Mahlfeld, K., Franke, J., and Awiszus, F. 2004. Postcontraction changes of muscle architecture in human quadriceps muscle. Muscle Nerve **29** (4): 597-600.

Markovic, G., Simek, S., and Bradic, A. 2008. Are acute effects of maximal dynamic contractions on upper-body ballistic performance load specific? J. Strength Cond. Res. **22** (6): 1811-1815.

Matthews, M. J., Comfort, P., and Crebin, R. 2010. Complex training in ice hockey: the effects of a heavy resisted sprint on subsequent ice-hockey sprint performance. J. Strength Cond. Res. **24** (11): 2883-2887.

McBride, J., Nimphius, S., and Erickson, T. M. 2005. The acute effects of heavy-load squats and loaded countermovement jumps on sprint performance. J Strength Cond Res **19** (4): 893-897.

McCann, M. R. and Flanagan, S. P. 2010. The effects of exercise selection and rest interval on postactivation potentiation of vertical jump performance. J. Strength Cond. Res. **24** (5): 1285-1291.

Mitchell, C. J. and Sale, D. G. 2011. Enhancement of jump performance after a 5-RM squat is associated with postactivation potentiation. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol DOI: 10.1007/s00421-010-1823-x

Miyamoto, N., Kanehisa, H., Fukunaga, T., and Kawakami, Y. 2011. Effect of postactivation potentiation on the maximal voluntary isokinetic concentric torque in humans. J. Strength Cond. Res. **25** (1): 186-192.

Moir, G. L., Dale, J. R., and Dietrich, W. W. 2009. The acute effects of heavy back squats on mechanical variables during a series of bilateral hops. J. Strength Cond. Res. **23** (4): 1118-1124.

Rixon, K. P., Lamont, H. S., and Bemben, M. G. 2007. Influence of type of muscle contraction, gender, and lifting experience on postactivation potentiation performance. J. Strength Cond. Res. **21** (2): 500-505.

Robbins, D. W. 2005. Postactivation potentiation and its practical applicability: a brief review. J. Strength. Cond. Res. **19** (2): 453-458.

Robbins, D. W. and Docherty, D. 2005. Effect of loading on enhancement of power performance over three consecutive trials. J Strength Cond Res **19** (4): 898-902.

Sale, D. G. 2002. Postactivation potentiation: role in human performance. Exerc Sport Sci Review **30** (3): 138-143.

Shorten, M. R. 1987. Muscle elasticity and human performance. Med Sport Sci. **25:** 1-18.

Sinkjaer, T., Gantchev, N., and Arendt-Nielsen, L. 1992. Mechanical properties of human ankle extensors after muscle potentiation. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. **85** (6): 412-418.

Smilios, I., Pilianidis, T., Sotiropoulos, K., Antonakis, M., and Tokmakidis, S. P. 2005. Short-term effects of selected exercise and load in contrast training on vertical jump performance. J. Strength Cond. Res. **19** (1): 135-139.

Smith, J. C. and Fry, A. C. 2007. Effects of a ten-second maximum voluntary contraction on regulatory myosin light-chain phosphorylation and dynamic performance measures. J. Strength. Cond. Res. **21** (1): 73-76.

Stuart, D. S., Lingley, M. D., Grange, R. W., and Houston, M. E. 1988. Myosin ligh chain phosphorylation and contractile performance if human skeletal muscle. Can J Physiol Pharm **66:** 49-54.

Surenkok, O., Kin Isler, A., Aytar, A., Gulktekin, Z., and Akman, M. N. 2006. Effect of knee muscle fatigue and lactic acid acumulation on balance in healthy subjects. Isokinetics Exerc Sci **14:** 301-306.

Till, K. A. and Cooke, C. 2009. The effects of postactivation potentiation on sprint and jump performance of male academy soccer players. J. Strength. Cond. Res. **23** (7): 1960-1967.

Tillin, N. A. and Bishop, D. 2009. Factors modulating post-activation potentiation and its effect on performance of subsequent explosive activities. Sports Med. **39** (2): 147-166.

Toft, E., Espersen, G. T., Kålund, S., Sinkjær, T., and Hornemann, B. C. 1989. Passive tension of the ankle before and after stretching. Am J. Sports Med **17** (4): 489-494.

Vandenboom, R., Grange, R. W., and Houston, M. E. 1995. Myosin phosphorylation enhances rate of force development in fast-twitch skeletal muscle. Am. J. Physiol. **268:** C596-C603.

Vandenboom, R. and Houston, M. E. 1996. Phosphorylation of myosin and twitch potentiation in fatigued skeletal muscle. Can J Physiol Pharm **74:** 1315-1321.

Young, W. B., Jenner, A., and Griffiths, K. 1998. Acute enhancement of power performance from heavy load squats. J Strength Cond Res **12** (2): 82-84.