



Published as:

Title: Science in Nursing or Nursing Science? A Preliminary Report

Author: Logan-Sinclair

Author: Coombe

Email: psinclair@csu.edu.au

Email: kennece@kennececoombe.com

In: AARE 2006 International Research Conference

URL: www.aare.edu.au

Conference Name: Engaging Pedagogies

Conference Location: UNISA, Adelaide, SA, Australia

Conference Year: Nov 2006

Conference Editor: Peter Jeffery

Year: April, 2007

Publisher Place: Australia

Publisher: AARE

Abstract: Introductory level sciences occupy approximately a quarter to a third of undergraduate nursing programmes. It has long been held that the science subjects in undergraduate nursing programmes cause students, on average, the most anxiety. Science lecturers have expressed concern over an apparent need to reduce content in order that students cope. The professional literature indicates the existence of a science–nursing tension, however, there is little to clarify what it is about the sciences that nurses wish to reject and there is expressed a binary polarity from ‘science is extremely important to nursing’ to ‘science should be removed from nursing curricula’. There have been calls to ‘re-envision’ science curricula for nursing. This has resulted in programmes being redesigned such that the science content is fully integrated rather than discrete. The few evaluations performed on such programmes have not demonstrated a lessening of student anxiety or indicated evolving changes to the science-nursing tension. The aim of this project is to clarify the level of science that nursing professionals feel is actually required for undergraduate programmes. The method has been designed as a modified Delphi approach using focus groups, surveys and document analysis.

Subject: nursing tertiary education human bioscience

ISSN: 1324-9320

Science in Nursing or Nursing science? A preliminary report.

Patricia Logan-Sinclair
Kennece Coombe

Abstract

Introductory level sciences occupy approximately a quarter to a third of undergraduate nursing programmes. It has long been held that the science subjects in undergraduate nursing programmes cause students, on average, the most anxiety. Science lecturers have expressed concern over an apparent need to reduce content in order that students cope. The professional literature indicates the existence of a science–nursing tension, however, there is little to clarify what it is about the sciences that nurses wish to reject and there is expressed a binary polarity from ‘science is extremely important to nursing’ to ‘science should be removed from nursing curricula’. There have been calls to ‘re-envision’ science curricula for nursing. This has resulted in programmes being redesigned such that the science content is fully integrated rather than discrete. The few evaluations performed on such programmes have not demonstrated a lessening of student anxiety or indicated evolving changes to the science-nursing tension. The aim of this project is to clarify the level of science that nursing professionals feel is actually required for undergraduate programmes. The method has been designed as a modified Delphi approach using focus groups, surveys and document analysis. 191 words

Introduction

Literature Review

Method

Document Analysis

Guidelines for course accreditation

Course Outlines

Delphi - First focus group.

Results and Discussion

Document Analysis

Guidelines for course accreditation

Course Outlines

Delphi - First focus group.

References