Grazing systems for winter cereals
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Abstract
To make an economic assessment of the role of grazing cereals in farming systems it is necessary to estimate the impact of grazing on the yield of the crop and any benefits to livestock production. It is the second of these components that is the subject of this paper. Two grazing systems, each replicated three times, were compared in terms of liveweight gain of 30 kg merino x dorper lambs and pasture availability. Lambs either grazed lucerne pasture (composed of lucerne \textasciitilde{} 50\%, barley grass, annual ryegrass and capeweed) for 18 weeks from 13 Jun. to 15 Oct. 2007 (treatment - L) or grazed lucerne pasture for 6 weeks followed by wheat (cv. EGA Wedgetail) for 6 weeks and then returned to the lucerne for a further 6 weeks (treatment - LW). Lucerne plots were divided into three sub plots which allowed the establishment of a 2 week on – 4 week off rotational grazing system and were grazed at the stocking rate of 12.5 lambs/ha. Liveweight gain for the LW treatment (20.1 kg/head) was significantly greater than the L treatment (16.1 kg/head). This difference in liveweight was only apparent at the end of the period of grazing the wheat crop. For the period after the LW lambs were returned to lucerne pasture there was no significant difference in the rate of liveweight gain between the two treatments. These results will be discussed with respect the future of systems level research in grain and graze enterprises.
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Introduction
The opportunity to incorporate winter wheats into cropping program in mixed farming systems has provided farmers with scope to increase the availability of winter feed on a whole-farm basis. The recent increase in interest in this option could be due to a number of factors, amongst them – the availability of winter cultivars with better grain quality characteristics and considerable research and development support through the Grain and Graze program (eg. Virgona et al. 2006a). Recent results obtained in southern NSW on the management of winter wheat showed that even after heavy grazing, yield may only be slightly decreased depending on season (Virgona et al. 2006b). The impact on yield, under dryland conditions, will depend considerably on prevailing weather conditions, particularly rainfall. In addition management will play an important role but with little data available describing the impact of grazing on grain yield and its components, it is likely that the capacity to predict consequences of management in terms of yield is some way off.

To economically assess the worth of a dual-purpose grain crop it is also important to quantify the effects on livestock production. In the simplest sense this can be done by quantifying the change in liveweight of stock while grazing the crop and assigning a dollar value it. In this simple compartmentalized way it is not difficult to calculate the relative economic benefits/losses of grazing on grain yield and livestock production. However, the flaw in such analyses is that they may be based on results from treatments imposed in experiments and not options available to farmers. For instance, in the mixed-farming system of southern Australia, farmers can sow either winter wheat (presumably for grazing and grain recovery) or spring wheat (grain only). In experiments the loss/gain in yield from grazing is usually compared to an ungrazed control. However, farmers do not generally sow winter wheat varieties and \textit{not} graze them. In effect, to be relevant the yield of a well-managed spring wheat crop should be used as a comparison instead of the yield of an ungrazed winter wheat.

With respect to livestock production, a broader appreciation of the role of dua- purpose wheat will be gained from an analysis of the whole production system (including livestock and pasture) and not just livestock performance over the period of time that the wheat is being grazed. On most farms in the region, sheep will be moved from a pasture onto grazing wheat for a period of time in mid winter. Generally, depending on the season, stock could spend anywhere between two to eight weeks grazing wheat. This means that there will be
pastures on-farm that have not been grazed for a lengthy period over the winter months. While there are many intangible benefits of this rest (eg. farmers able to spray pasture for weed control) the direct impact on subsequent livestock production (after being removed from the crop) need to be taken into account. This is certainly the case when grazing wheat is incorporated into lucerne grazing systems. Lucerne pastures are grazed on a rotational basis (eg. Southwood and Robards 1971), so when the time grazing winter wheat is added to the rest due to rotational grazing, it is possible that some paddocks may not have been grazed for up to 10-12 weeks (depending on length of rotation) by the time sheep are returned to lucerne after grazing wheat. The experiment reported here assesses the effect on change in lamb liveweight of grazing lucerne-based pasture alone compared to a system in which grazing wheat was made available for a set period after which sheep were returned to the lucerne. In addition, measurements of pasture productivity are also reported.

Methods
The experiment was located on the Wagga Wagga Agricultural institute (35º 5’ S, 148º 6’ E., Elevation: 219 m, average annual rainfall: 572 mm). Part of an existing paddock originally sown to lucerne (cv. Aurora) on a red kandosol soil in 2004 was subdivided into 6 plots (each 0.4 ha in size). Two treatments grazing lucerne only (L) and grazing lucerne interrupted by a period grazing winter wheat (LW) were allocated to the plots consistent with a randomised complete block design of two treatments and three replicates. Merino x Dorper lambs (approximately 30 kg at commencement of the experiment) either grazed lucerne pasture for 18 weeks from 13 Jun. to 15 Oct. 2007 (L) or grazed lucerne pasture for the first 6 weeks from 13 Jun. followed by a period grazing wheat for 6 weeks and then returned to the lucerne for a further 6 weeks (LW). Each of the three replicate flocks grazed the wheat paddock in common. Each lucerne plot was divided into three equal-sized sub plots which allowed the implementation of a 2 week on – 4 week off rotational grazing system. Each plot was grazed with 5 lambs at a stocking rate of 12.5 lambs.ha⁻¹. The wheat crop that was grazed for the LW treatment was sown to the cultivar EGA Wedgetail on 8 May 2007 on the same soil type in a nearby paddock. The LW lambs grazed this area at the same stocking rate (12.5 head.ha⁻¹) as the lucerne pasture.

Dry matter estimates were made on subplots that had either ceased to be grazed or were about to be grazed every two weeks. For the first four measurements these were done using the comparative yield method of Haydock and Shaw (1975). A shortage of experienced operators resulted in a change by making direct cuts on ten 0.25 m² randomly placed quadrats per plot at each subsequent sampling time. Similarly, dry matter cuts were taken every two weeks while sheep grazed the winter wheat. The lucerne pasture of the LW treatment was not measured whilst the lambs were grazing wheat. Statistical analysis was confined to simple analysis of variance on lamb growth rates.

Results
In 2007 little rain fell over the crucial August-October period. As a result, pasture growth was generally poor. This combined with a run of lower than average rainfall years, meant that the annual component of the pasture was less than would normally be expected. As a result, the pastures were dominated by lucerne (48%) with barley grass (19%), capeweed (15%) and annual ryegrass (10%). Unusually, there was no annual legume component in the pasture – presumably the result of the recent run of poor seasons.

Liveweight gains for three grazing periods are reported in Table 1. The first and third periods are the times that lambs in both treatments were grazing lucerne only. There was no treatment effect on the rate of liveweight gain for either period. However, in the second period when L sheep grazed lucerne pasture and LW sheep grazed winter wheat, there was a highly significant difference in rate of liveweight gain. Over the whole grazing period this meant that the LW lambs grew at a significantly faster rate (P < 0.05) than the L lambs. As a result, by the conclusion of the experiment the LW lambs had grown significantly more (20.1 kg/head) than the L lambs (16.1 kg/head)
Table 1 Growth rate (g/day) of lambs grazing lucerne only (L) and lucerne with a period on grazing wheat (LW).
Lambs in L treatment grazed Lucerne throughout whereas lamb in the LW treatment grazed wheat in the second period and Lucerne in periods one and three. Statistically significant (P<0.05) differences within a time period are denoted by *.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First period</th>
<th>Second period</th>
<th>Third period</th>
<th>Whole period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lucerne</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>135*</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>129*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucerne/Wheat</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 1, feed availability is presented as the average of the amount available when grazing commenced and the amount left when grazing ceased in each sub plot. Over the first six weeks there were no differences between the treatments (Figure 1). The increase in feed available when the LW lambs were moved to grazing wheat is obvious, although no valid statistical comparison can be made. Note also that the greater availability of feed to the LW lambs when they were returned to lucerne pasture (after 11 September) which had not been grazed for 10 weeks.

![Figure 1](image-url)  
**Figure 1.** Average and standard error (bar) of feed availability (kg/ha) for each grazing period for lucerne and lucerne/wheat treatments. Each of the three replicate flocks grazed the wheat (marked by a W on the graph) in common so estimates of replicate error cannot be made.

Discussion

The results clearly show the advantage of having winter wheat available in mid winter for grazing in terms of liveweight gain. However, the extra pasture available that accumulated while lambs were grazing wheat did not increase subsequent growth rate when the lambs were returned to lucerne pasture. Presumably, there was enough pasture available in the Lucerne only treatment to maximize liveweight gain. This result, although based on only one year of data, tends to support the notion that performance of livestock while grazing wheat alone will be enough to determine the returns from the livestock enterprise.

There is a further need to investigate how other aspects of livestock management (stocking rate, timing of lambing et.) could be altered to make more efficient use of the wheat feed base. It is very likely that systems level experimentation (of which this is a very limited example) will need to take place in order to assess the practicality and economics of such options. Nonetheless, given the array of management options available, it is unlikely that experimentation alone will be able to test all of these. Hence, system-level experimentation will need to proceed in partnership with systems-level modeling in order to fully explore the role of grazing wheat in mixed farming systems. The experimentation is required for both extension and validation of model...
outcomes while the modeling is required to extrapolate beyond the limited management options that can be tested using experimentation.
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