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Summary

School transformation requires a synergy of the entire community – educators, government and ministry leaders, students, faculty, private providers, social service organizations, religious leaders, parents, and more. Indeed, what we should be developing with ALCs are ‘communities for innovation’ that collectively embrace innovation in all its guises and creative capacities (Olcott, 2014).

As we reflect, critical conversations to innovate with Learning Environments may include:

- How will Learning Spaces be redesigned to enable 21st Century Learning?
- How does access to technology impact decision making and design of spaces?
- What does a smart classroom look like? What does it “feel” like?
- How do the devices prepare students for their next steps in learning and life?
- What technologies do teachers require in classroom, the school grounds, at home, on camp?
- What are the implications of curriculum design to make effective use of 1:1 learning and new learning spaces?
- What training is needed for teachers?
- To provide Personalised Learning systems and environments, what Administration Systems are needed?
- What internal and external communication systems are required to enable 24/7 anytime, anywhere collaboration?
- What Content Management and Distribution systems are required to enable 24/7 anytime, anywhere collaboration?
- What implications occur on student data management and Personalised Learning Systems in 21st Century learning environments?
- What safety, security, and privacy of people, property and data is needed?
- What support is needed to support such environments?
Digital technologies are not simply for use in the schools by teachers and students; nor simply a showcase for Open House and parent briefings on an ad hoc basis. They are a collective community resource. ALCs explore how students and teachers adapt to these new innovations, how geographic communities play an integral role in the deployment of these innovations, and how ‘flipped communities’ may serve as a catalyst for schools to leverage outputs by assuming new roles to create optimum educational, social and cultural impacts for community development. We have moved beyond flipped classrooms. ALCs are about enabling learning environments that support and drive ‘flipped communities.’
Introduction

Today, digital technologies provide ubiquitous and instantaneous access to unlimited information resources that are transforming schools and the educative process. At the same time, there is an increasing realization that information is not synonymous with knowledge; and access to this infinite virtual repository is only as valuable as one’s capacity to assess, analyse, decipher, disaggregate, and apply knowledge in practical, real-world situations (Cavanaugh, McCarthy & East, 2014; EDUCAUSE, 2009).

The 21st century student must learn how to ‘connect’ these isolated pieces of information with the guidance of 21st Century teachers who can show the student the art of ‘collection, orchestration, remixing and integration of data into knowledge building’ (EDUCAUSE, 2009, p. 2). Knowledge building will also depend on students thinking about their own metacognition and reflecting on how they learn best.

Learning is about making connections. This range of teaching-learning connections permeates the teaching and learning environment, a learning space that can be physical or virtual. We connect new knowledge to existing knowledge; we connect the digital world with the real world; we connect students to content, students to students, and students to teachers. We connect the learning space to the world, competencies to skills, individuals to groups, and groups to communities. And, most importantly, we connect technology to information – information to knowledge and knowledge to application in the real world. We connect students to life (Olcott, 2014).

This paper explores innovative new learning environments, seeks out new digital applications among teachers and students, and helps define 21st Century smart learning environments that are increasingly mobile and cloud based. This is a formidable challenge for education systems and institutions all over the world. It requires us to think differently about how we think, how we teach, and how we learn; and to resist a fundamental tendency of human nature – to retreat to the status quo where we feel comfortable and safe from ambiguity and the unknown.

Read about how teachers are using Skype to create an activity centered around real-world applications. The concept is simple: classrooms Skype call each other and try to guess where the other classroom is located either in the United States or in the world.

Take part in the lesson!
Adaptive Anytime Anywhere Learning Communities (ALCs) look at digital learning through a broader lens than personal or digital learning environments. Adaptive Anytime Anywhere Learning Communities (ALCs) can be defined as:

The mobilization of digital technologies to transform Schools through personal and community learning environments which serve as the catalyst for creating new relationships through a ‘community for innovation’ that connects all stakeholders to a common community action agenda.

Digital technologies are not simply for use in the schools by teachers and students; nor simply a showcase for Open House and parent briefings on an ad hoc basis. They are a collective community resource. ALCs explore how students and teachers adapt to these new innovations, how geographic communities play an integral role in the deployment of these innovations, and how ‘flipped communities’ may serve as a catalyst for schools to leverage outputs by assuming new roles to create optimum educational, social and cultural impacts for community development.

The term adaptive learning has been applied to adapting technology to meet individual student learning needs. This approach is consistent with student expectations that experiences, services and products can be aligned with their individual learning needs and preferences (Boyd, 2014). Conversely, we must broaden the dialogue to explore how individuals and communities can adapt to digital technologies.

The paper will provide a brief synopsis of learning theory, personalized learning environments, mobile digital devices, and cloud based digital learning spaces as a gateway to the concept of Adaptive Anytime Anywhere Learning Communities (ALCs). We will also look at the 7Es of Adaptive Anytime Anywhere Learning Communities (ALCs) – engage, experience, elicit, empower, effect, emote, evolve, and efficacy; and how the 7Es provide a roadmap for creating ALCs that are reciprocally transformative across the broader community landscape.

Making connections is the key to redefining the school-community dynamic for the 21st century. The goal of ALCs is to create a ‘community for innovation’ rather than a ‘culture of technology’ (Olcott, 2014).
The 21st Century Digital Learning Environment

What is an effective school? Indeed, the answer to this question varies. It often depends on who is asked, the context which the question is posed, and the role and values of the person giving the answer. After all, where one stands is often influenced by where one sits. Cavanaugh, Repetto & Wayer (2013) identified the 5Cs of effective schools. These included: ‘caring communities, where students have control, quality curriculum, connection the future and the world beyond the classroom, and a supportive climate’ (p. 4). Digital innovations play a major role for all of the 5Cs. They key is for digital technologies to be on all stages for all stakeholders rather than center stage as a panacea for school transformation. Before we can look at the ALC environment we must briefly highlight how these innovations are being used inside the schools.

Learning Theory
A few years ago futurists attempted to mesmerize us with enlightened sound bites like half of the knowledge an engineering student learns is obsolete by the time the student graduates from college. A professional colleague reminded me, however, that even if this were true the fundamentals of sound engineering do not change; a ‘teachable moment’ and indeed an important lesson for school leaders and policy makers as they soar off on a new journey into digital learning.

Good teaching is good teaching – effective learning is effective learning. The influx of 21st century digital technologies does not change this anymore than they alter the sound tenets of proven learning theories. What digital technologies actually do is leverage our capacity to create new learning designs to apply the basic concepts and constructs of sound learning theory that result in improved performance by students. Do these digital innovations have the power to make average teachers master teachers? Perhaps not directly because the human dynamic of teaching that makes it an art rather than a science remains alive and well. At the same time, it is prudent to remember that the changing role of teacher from didactic maestro to knowledge facilitator suggests that digital innovations can help all teachers become better 21st century teachers.
Learning is about making connections and learning theories help us conceptualize these connections in cognitive, behavioral, and affective domains that allow us to collectively relate to the physical world. Piaget’s theory of Cognitive Adaptation provides a cognitive roadmap for how we all connect one of the most essential building blocks of learning: experience (Piaget & Inhelder, 1973; Satterly, 1987; Wood, 1998; Bee & Boyd, 2004).

Piaget’s theory suggests that through our cognitive schemes, we either assimilate new knowledge with existing knowledge due to logical connections; or we change our cognitive schemes to accommodate new knowledge that does not fit with our pre-existing mental schemes. A scheme is a basic set of experiences and knowledge gained through experience that tells us how things should be and act in the person’s environment. As adults, this makes sense; however, for school-age children the dynamics are more complex because their experience level is low and from early childhood through early adulthood there is a progression of cognitive development stages occurring.

Without oversimplifying Piaget’s stages, the school age period covers the pre-operational, concrete operational, and formal operational stages – roughly ages 2 – 18. During the pre-operational stage children think primarily egocentrically as they learn to use language and represent objects by images and words, including recognizing the difference between an object image and the real object. For example, a child may see a dog on television and yet be able to distinguish a real dog running across the street.

At the concrete operational stage (ages 7-11), children begin to think logically about objects and events. Children begin to classify objects according to several features and/or along a single dimension (size, color, etc.). Finally, in the formal operational stage (ages 11 and up), teenagers begin to think logically and abstractly.

These developmental stages are important for two reasons. First, experience is cumulative and a child’s experiential repository increases as the child progresses through the cognitive stages. As the child develops, he or she learns how to assimilate or accommodate new knowledge.
Secondly, and most importantly for our discussion, the use of digital innovations even with children as young as 5 or 6 can be used in cognitively aligned ways to facilitate learning that is consistent with the child’s cognitive developmental capacities. A child can visualize objects on a computer screen and then identify real objects in the school environment. A child in the concrete operational stage can logically deduce if thunder is heard, lightning will soon follow – they can confirm this by searching for the concepts on a computer. And on a rainy and dreary day, the formal operational stage suggests that the child can visualize an abstract mental picture of his or her physical environment that is sunny, clear with beautiful blue skies even though the physical environment does not concur.

It is beyond the scope of this paper to delve into a comprehensive discussion of other learning theories. The Piagetian discussion was presented to demonstrate the contribution of digital technologies to education in alignment with learning theory and teaching strategies attuned with the developmental stages of children. Howard Gardner’s (1983) theory of multiple intelligences has similar applicability.

Gardner argues that there are least seven intelligences – interpersonal, intra-personal, existential, spatial, mathematical, naturalistic, and musical. He suggests that these can be developed in each individual based on natural abilities as well as motivation and other external factors ‘connected’ with learning. James Gibson (1950, 1979) expanded our understanding of perception, visualization and the affordances associated with objects. Digital innovations are tools that can help us design learning in ways that recognize these multiple intelligences as well as teach developmentally appropriate strategies about the theory of affordances.

Vygotsky (1978) formulated his social development theory which forms one of the foundations of constructivism. He argues that social interaction comes before development and that cognition is the accumulation of socialization and social behaviour. In essence, the learner is an active constructor of knowledge and experience – constructivism. Like Piaget, Vygotsky suggests new information is linked to prior knowledge and he expands this to suggest that the learner constructs knowledge based on personal experiences and hypotheses of the environment, testing hypotheses through social negotiation.
Constructivism argues that all knowledge is constructed from the learner’s previous knowledge, regardless of how one is taught. This reflects an important misunderstanding that teacher’s should never tell student anything directly and let them construct their own knowledge base. This confuses a theory of pedagogy (teaching) with a theory of knowing (constructivism). In practical terms, constructivism suggests that people learn from mediators – parents, instructors, peers, and even digital applications (Wertsch, 2008).

A related theory to constructivism is connectivism. Siemens (2008, cited in Dunaway, 2011), suggests that connectivism is a learning theory comprised of different series of nodes to connect hundreds of networks to facilitate synchronous and asynchronous learning. Moreover, according to the principles of connectivism, people acquire information through three main sources in the modern age: (1) online classrooms and MOOCs; (2) social networks including podcasts and video clips; and (3) virtual reality platforms. Indeed, this is arguable from a learning theory perspective.

It should also be noted that connectivism has been criticized as being a quasi-learning theory if at all. Bell (2010) referred to connectivism as an instructional theory, not a learning theory; and in fact connectivism is derived from learning theory. Regardless of this definitional debate, connectivism brings modern learning sources to the forefront of the discussion about effective learning and should be given more than a cursory review and assessment.

A final point on learning theory relative to all education. ‘The primary evidence of learning is an individual’s change in performance’ (Driscoll, 2005; Gould, 2008). The relevance of this statement is multi-faceted and is reflected in numerous manifestations of 21st century learning – competency-based learning, digital design, assessment practices, qualitative research methods, and more. It is also a subtle reminder that the learning theory of behaviorism (Skinner & Thorndike) still lingers in the hallways and classrooms of the modern school (Gould, 2008). In sum, if learning is observable, it is measurable and authentic assessment of performance can employ valid metrics for measuring observable learning gains.
Personalized Learning Environments

Personalized Learning Environments (PLEs) describe ‘the tools, communities and services that constitute the individual educational platforms learners use to direct their own learning and pursue educational goals’ (EDUCAUSE, 2009, p. 1). PLEs are learner-centric contrasted with the learning management system (LMS) which is course-centric. In a practical context, PLEs are the cumulative repository of learning resources that a student taps for his or her own learning – blogs, OERs, social media sites, on-line learning communities, and others. Moreover, PLEs are essentially interactive, problem solving tools that begin to direct a student towards reflection on his/her metacognition – individual learning style; and also by combining the input and reflections of others in the aggregate learning process (American Institutes for Research, 2012; EDUCAUSE, 2009).

Why are PLEs important for our discussion? In concert with digital innovations, PLEs put the learner in charge of his/her own learning – in one sense an extension of the individual research model. As students begin to examine their own metacognition, they begin to decipher what tools, resources and knowledge help them learn best. The obvious connections to digital innovations are the connections afforded learners to access information and knowledge sources for their own learning. PLEs suggest that in the future students will work more collaboratively with peers; teachers will need facilitation skills to direct students to credible sources; and ultimately students will learn to analyse, synthesize and apply valid and reliable knowledge from these sources and throw out the ‘noise’ or ‘junk knowledge’ (Vygotsky, 1978).

Marquis (2012) suggests six possible roles for teachers in a personalized learning environment. These include: (1) assuming the facilitator role for directing students to credible and valid knowledge sources; (2) a remediation role to help students who fail to achieve learning objectives by intervening with alternative learning strategies; (3) enricher – helping students take basic knowledge and convert it to applied knowledge in authentic ways; (4) collaborator/mentor – actually working side by side with student in deep exploration of the PLE universe; (5) by taking open educational resources and other open content, the teacher will expand curriculum development opportunities aligned with student needs (6) N/A – PLEs will make teachers obsolete – doubtful but a clear lesson to ensure educators place effective teaching and learning rather than technology at the forefront of the educational enterprise (Olcott, 1997).
Mobile Learning

Smart phones, tablets, and other digital devices first and foremost provide access to information and access to people (Cavanaugh, McCarthy & East, 2014). In one sense, mobile technologies are already playing a significant role in ‘connecting’ students to the world. Conversely, having a smart phone in one’s hand is not synonymous with engaging in formal educational instruction. Perhaps the future of mobile technologies is to serve as the supporting cast for supplementing formalized instruction whether face to face or online by giving students instantaneous access to knowledge sources right at their fingertips.

Learning Environments: From Classrooms to Learning Spaces

In the digital world, teaching and learning can occur anywhere, anytime, at any pace, and supported by a broad range of digital tools. This suggests that the 21st century school must create a learning environment of creative learning spaces – real and virtual that can accommodate simulations, role-lying, collaborative research, and networking (Oblinger, 2005).

The collaborative nature of learning suggests that learning theory, PLEs, and mobile learning devices all contribute to connecting teachers and student with knowledge and experience repositories. Learning tends to be deepest and most effective with interaction and guidance particularly when using the content and tools associated with digital technologies (Jonassen, 2012) together with learning spaces. Guernsey (2014) highlights “findings from developmental science have led to greater understanding of how children’s learning environments can shape their growth; higher expectations for children’s cognitive and social development; and higher standards for educators and caregivers” (p. 3). Schools must build more ‘Learning Spaces’ to include virtual and physical learning areas to facilitate on-going collaborative learning in the schools and in the broader community. Thornburg’s Campfires in Cyberspace: Primordial Metaphors for Learning in the 21st Century (2007) describes 4 key spaces:

Microsoft has some great tools to support mobile learning:

Office on Mobile Devices - Cross platform
Windows InTune for Cloud based Mobile Device Management
Windows featured PCs

“In addition to addressing concerns of inequality and digital divides, educators and policymakers should seize the chance to bend the technology marketplace toward the needs of educators and families, enabling new connections to resources, new materials for use in the classroom, new tools of communication, and new partnerships for cost-savings, not to mention collaboration and creativity.”

Guernsey, 2014
• The campfire learning space to allow storytelling. An opportunity to learn from experts, a lecture theatre, and a formal classroom is an example of this space.

• The watering hole where it is less formal and learners can become teachers or teachers become learners. A place to share ideas, to listen, to collaborate, to communicate or simply to reflect in the company of others. This could be in a common room, the school library or an online community.

• “The learning community of the campfire brought us in contact with experts, and that of the watering hole brought us in contact with peers. There is another primordial learning environment of great importance: the cave — where we came in contact with ourselves” (p. 3) Egg chair seating such as that from designer Arne Jacobsen (1956) in common rooms and libraries or online digital portfolios that allow for personal reflection. Whilst providing opportunities for students, “the 21st century requires greater connection between educators to learn from each other and share resources” (p. 9).

• Life is the “application of knowledge” (p. 4). As Thornburg indicates “much of our school curriculum seems devoted to having us learn things just in case we will someday need to know them. Yet, when we learn something in anticipation of its immediate use, we not only reinforce our understanding, we increase the likelihood that what we have learned will not be readily forgotten.” An assignment such as a digital book or podcast shared publicly on the school or community social site or a presentation or activity at the campfire/school assembly or sporting venue are examples of Life.

A Smart Learning Classroom

Traditional classrooms are, in most cases, built for a “stand-and-deliver” mode of learning where teachers serve the role of “depositors” of knowledge and students as the “depositaries” of knowledge (Freire, 1993). Moreover, traditional classrooms have inflexible layouts and furniture that makes collaboration, working in teams, and space for experimentation more difficult. Also, technology is not easily accessible and is usually confined to a computer, overhead projector, and display system. Nonetheless, more school systems are investing in mobile devices and cloud computing to help the learning environment become more flexible. A Smart Learning Classroom (SLC) has traditionally been defined as an enhanced

“The Microsoft innovative School program has been an inspiration for our college and its community. It sets expectations around raising student achievement through the development of programs that give us access to skills, knowledge, and processes that are easily embedded in our curriculum.”

Michel Leach, Principal, Botany Downs Secondary College, New Zealand
classroom that cultivates opportunities for teaching and learning through the use of technology. However, technology is just one aspect of a SLC and there are many other factors to consider when implementing a SLC. As a start, educators should consider updated and emerging strategies for teaching and learning that facilitate a SLC. One strategy is a personalized learning environment that allows students better ownership of their learning pathways and provides teachers a more robust set of artefacts they can use to assess how the learning is getting on. By using a personalized learning environment, a SLC becomes more akin to an Anytime Anywhere Learning Community.

To illustrate this point, consider the following example of a Smart Learning Classroom from the perspective of a student. Carrie Oakey is learning about the area of polygons. Before coming to class, her teacher created some video vignettes, using tools like Office Mix, and added some useful resources to the classroom’s shared OneNote folder. Carrie was able to view the videos and look through some of the resources before coming to class; however, she has some questions regarding the content. When she walks into class, there are several stations the teacher has set up: a review station where the teacher is using an interactive display, a peer-review station where clusters of students are working through problems together, and a “move beyond” station where students work with manipulatives, probes, sensors and other tools in a playground-type environment. The review station has an interactive display that allows teachers and students to manipulate objects on a large-surface using multi-touch/pen. The display also uses software, like Promethean’s ClassFlow, to send content from the display to student devices allowing them to manipulate, annotate, and create assets using a digital pen that can then be shared with the group. As the teacher asks for feedback, the software is able to save individual student responses that can be accessed by the teacher at any time. The teacher, in this case, serves as a guide to help students better understand the concept of area and how it relates to different polygons. Carrie decides that she understands the basic concepts, but needs to check her understanding by having some dialogue with a few of her peers. She decides to join-in on the peer-review station. Here, students work with one another to solve problems related to the main objectives from the lesson. They have access to different content resources that allow them to practice different problems and
access to different tools, like FluidMath, that make it easier to explain mathematical concepts to one another. As students complete different problem tasks, they are able to track their solutions and competency skills in the system and this information can be accessed anytime, anywhere by the teacher, student, or parent. As Carrie’s peers helped answer some of her questions, she moves on to the “move beyond” station where she has set up some time to connect with her “math pal” at the local primary school (a primary student Carrie is working with to teach her basic math skills). She connects with her math pal via Skype and uses a shared OneNote notebook to show her math pal some new math concepts. Carrie then reflects on her experiences in her shared OneNote journal with her teacher and class peers.

Punie and Ala-Mutka (2008), of the JRC European Commission, provide supporting guidance on Learning Spaces and Learning skills required in the 21th Century. The following table provides a modified summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal digital spaces</th>
<th>Digital repository with all personal learning materials, going back and forth without losing track, accessible anywhere, anytime (e.g., Yammer with Office365)</th>
<th>• Basic computer and networking skills to build, maintain and expand a personal digital repository (throughout the life) • Information evaluation and management skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecting and social spaces</td>
<td>Physical and virtual, connecting spaces where all the actors involved in learning meet (e.g., Office365 with OneNote, Skype, Yammer or SharePoint)</td>
<td>• Norms and values of online communication, identity management • Collaboration and networking skills (also in real life)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trusted spaces</td>
<td>Trust, confidence and reliability of resources and people (e.g., Skype, Windows Store)</td>
<td>• Privacy and security, IPR and copyright skills • Trust, confidence and reliability of resources and people (e.g., reputation systems)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Motivating & emotional spaces** | Enhancing and improving the experience, inviting to learn (e.g. Second Life, YouTube) | • Sharing of personal information and learning, openness to others  
• Skills to personalize one’s own learning, to know one’s objectives  
• Skills to find and use new tools, e.g. videos, virtual worlds (learners and teachers) |
| **Learning spaces** | Differentiating between time to learn or to do other things | • Self-management skills for differentiating time for learning  
• Skills to differentiate between time to learn or to do other things  
• Self-management skills in terms of timing and concentration |
| **Creative/flexible spaces** | Combining learning modes and styles according to the situation (e.g. Livemocha, Office Sway) | • Learning to learn skills, selection of one’s best learning style  
• Skills of teachers and organizations to support different ways for learning |
| **Open and reflexive space** | Giving space for thinking, enabling to plug in again, allowing others to comment, review, suggest... (e.g. Office365 blogs, wikis) | • Skills to reflect, be open, expect and accept feedback and critique |
| **Certified space** | Facilitating evaluations and assessments, accreditations for achievements (e.g. ePortfolios in Office365 and OneDrive) | • Self and knowledge presenting skills (e.g. e-portfolio)  
• Organizational learning to acknowledge skills acquired in alternative ways |
| **Professional learning spaces** | Sharing and managing knowledge and resources at work and by organizations | • Skills of the organizations to develop facilities and practices to share and manage knowledge and resources between all users  
• Skills to work and share collaboratively in professional environment |
| **Inclusive spaces** | Supporting access to learning equally for everyone, compensating possible disadvantages and handicaps (e.g. Windows) |   |
The 7Es of Adaptive Anytime Anywhere Learning Communities (ALCs)

Adaptive Anytime Anywhere Learning Communities (ALCs) take our previous discussion about learning theory, PLEs, mobile technologies, and expanding real-virtual learning spaces to a broader community context. ALCs ‘connect’ all stakeholders in the community rather than just students and teachers. Parents, business leaders, community representatives, government agencies – the entire community is engaged in building one mega-learning space across the community for formal learning – but also for the collaborative linkages to address community development in all its guises.

Engage

Universities are often viewed as Ivory Towers, insular and protected from outside influences. Public schools also have this tendency to keep the broader community at a distance – when do parents come to schools? They come for open houses, parent conferences, and perhaps ad hoc events when the school or region needs a levy or financial initiative passed. ALCs are predicated on active engagement, and parents would be in schools every day. Teachers would do their own internships in local business to align content with real world skills. Community groups would use school facilities to promote community action events and initiatives. And, all of these activities would be supported by digital innovations to make and sustain these ‘connections.’ Schools must do a better job of inviting everyone in to the classroom. With global youth unemployment expected to be 21.9% in 2017 (Microsoft Corporation, 2014), a global initiative from Microsoft, CityNext, helps cities and schools drive their communities to take advantage of existing resources to build a sustainable model of innovation.

“Today, thriving cities worldwide are transforming their education systems as well as their infrastructure. From providing better access to resources through new services and connectivity, to programs that promote innovation and nurture talent, Microsoft CityNext is helping students prepare for the 21st century by expanding skills through digital inclusion.” (Microsoft Corporation, 2014)
Experience
Experience is cumulative. Students, teachers, parents, counselors, business leaders, government personnel, social service agencies all bring extensive experience to the community. In essence, the community is its own mega-repository of knowledge and strategies for the community. This is an infinite resource for all member of the community. ALCs recognize this invaluable repository for empowering communities to empower schools and personalized learning across the community. Moreover this experiential repository is reciprocal – it can be exchanged and re-distributed among all stakeholder groups in the community. Communities for 21st century schools must tap this experience repository – for formal education and for community development.

Empower
The core foundation of building ALCs is to create a ‘community for innovation’ (Olcott, 2014). Innovation is not synonymous with technology. Innovation, in fact, exits along a continuum that includes much more than just hardware and software (Rogers, 2003). Innovation is thinking and creativity. Innovation is new policies, processes, procedures, curriculum, pedagogical practices and more. Moreover, using by-lines such as building a ‘culture of innovation’ or a ‘culture of technology’ is not only doublespeak – it is misleading to students, learners, and other stakeholders. ALCs are predicated on engaging the entire community in innovative ways of thinking – about everything, not simply technology.

Effect
Similar to experience, effects from learning are cumulative. The community repository of experience must be supplemented with evidence and data that demonstrate precision effects – effects of teaching on learning; effects of digital technologies and PLEs on metacognition of learners; effects of schools on community action.
initiatives; effects of business partnerships with schools; effects of parent engagement by active participation (not simply coming to Open House) in the educative process. Moreover, decision making at all levels, from the classroom to the mayor’s office to the corporate CEO’s beach house, must be embedded in a commitment to continuous quality improvement through performance based management – using data to make good decisions (Bennis & Nanus, 1985; Burns, 2010; Hickman, 2010; Yukl, 2013)

**Emote**

ALCs presume that the affective domain – the open and honest expression of emotions in the education process (teaching and learning) are as valuable as intellectual gains by students. Schools must engage students in the emotional context of being students, peers, citizens, and adaptive learners through the digital resources of the 21st century. Emotional health is an area that tends to be overlooked unless there is a problem – healthy expression of emotions, views, values, attitudes, and belief structures are an essential part of the educational process.

**Evolve**

Transformation is evolutionary rather than revolutionary. ALCs suggest that transforming schools takes creativity, re-assessment of traditional practices and approaches, a ‘community for innovation,’ collaboration, and the recognition that we are not preparing students for today’s world – we are preparing students (and ourselves) for the 2030 world. We not only need to think outside the box – we need to take the risks to think that the box doesn’t exist. The author was once asked who invented the mobile phone – this was in front of 500 people. I answered Gene Roddenberry. The woman who asked the question did not understand. I explained that the first mobile phone that I saw was Captain Kirk talking to Scotty aboard the U.S. S. Enterprise ‘beam me up Scotty.’ There were no cellular phones when Star Trek hit the airwaves in the mid-1960s but they did exist in the imaginations of people and creators.

Microsoft Spotlight “Emote”

St. Thomas School, USA. “One of St. Thomas School’s hallmarks is student leadership. Without question, technology supports and empowers students to serve as leaders, work collaboratively with others, and present their learning to the broader community.” Dr. Kirk Wheeler, Head of School.

Students engage in projects inspired by a Reggio Emilia approach, which focuses on the principles of respect, responsibility, and community through exploration and discovery. Students research and document using devices, **Office 365 and Skype.**

Read more!

Microsoft Spotlight “Evolve”

“With the resources I get from Partners in Learning, I am helping to shape the future of Nigerian youth as they face 21st century challenges.”

Mr Ikye Chukwu,
Teacher & eLearning Coordinator
Efficacy

Ask any principal, CEO, university president, government leader or other leader this question: How do you reward your employees for failure? Most will escort you to the door without a return invitation. The rhetoric of innovation, imagination, creativity are politically correct sound bites – but actually engaging people in the creative process without adding the punitive or retributinal consequences takes real leadership and visionary capacity to see the forest through the trees. Does this mean every organization just lets people spend away the organization by trying every creative and innovative idea that pops up? No. What it does mean, however, is creating a ‘community for innovation’ where great ideas, great applications, and yes, great technologies can be created in a ‘community for innovation’ that taps the unlimited creative spirit across the community. How many great ideas and practices do we lose every day in business, government and education because people fear negative consequences for their creative talents?

For more than a decade, Skype has brought people together to make progress on what matters to them. Today, we have more than 300 million connected users each month, and more than 2 billion minutes of conversation a day as Skype breaks down communications barriers by delivering voice and video across a number of devices, from PCs and tablets, to smartphones and TVs. But language barriers have been a blocker to productivity and human connection; Skype Translator helps us overcome this barrier. Learn more: http://blogs.microsoft.com/blog/2014/05/27/microsoft-demos-breakthrough-in-real-time-translated-conversations/

Microsoft Spotlight “Efficacy”

Being part of the Microsoft Innovative Schools program as a Showcase School is a tremendous opportunity to learn from others, while honing our own programs.”

Dr. Kirk Wheeler, Head of School
St. Thomas School
Read More!
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